

Board for authority to expand FTZ 62 to include a site at the FINSA Industrial Park (758 acres; includes temporary site) in Los Indios (Site 4) and to restore 10 acres at the Harlingen Industrial Airpark in Harlingen (Site 2—Parcel A), Texas, within the Brownsville/Los Indios Customs port of entry (FTZ Docket 8–2003; filed 1/24/03);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 5272, 2/3/03) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to expand FTZ 62 is approved, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including section 400.28, and further subject to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall zone project.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 21st day of August 2003.

Jeffrey May,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

[FR Doc. 03–22436 Filed 9–2–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1285]

Approval for Expanded Manufacturing Authority (20-inch TV/VCR and TV/DVD Player Combination Units) Within Foreign-Trade Subzone 86E Matsushita Kotobuki Electronics Industries of America, Inc.; Vancouver, WA

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, Matsushita Kotobuki Electronics Industries of America, Inc. (MKA), operator of Foreign-Trade Subzone 86E, has applied to expand the scope of manufacturing authority under zone procedures within Subzone 86E, at the MKA plant located at sites in Vancouver, Washington, to include additional finished products (20-inch TV/VCR and TV/DVD player

combination units) (FTZ Doc. 31–2002; filed 8–12–2002);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 54168, 8–21–2002); and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application is in the public interest; Now, therefore, the Board hereby approves the request subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 21st day of August 2003.

Jeffrey May,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

[FR Doc. 03–22442 Filed 9–2–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–357–812]

Honey from Argentina: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On January 22, 2003, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 3009) a notice announcing the initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina. The period of review (POR) is May 11, 2001, to November 30, 2002. This review has now been partially rescinded for certain companies because the requesting parties withdrew their requests.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 3, 2003

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Phyllis Hall, Donna Kinsella or David Cordell, Enforcement Group III, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 7866, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–1398, (202) 482–0194, (202) 482–0408, respectively.

Scope of the Review

The merchandise under review is honey from Argentina. For purposes of

this review, the products covered are natural honey, artificial honey containing more than 50 percent natural honey by weight, preparations of natural honey containing more than 50 percent natural honey by weight, and flavored honey. The subject merchandise includes all grades and colors of honey whether in liquid, creamed, comb, cut comb, or chunk form, and whether packaged for retail or in bulk form.

The merchandise under review is currently classifiable under subheadings 0409.00.00, 1702.90.90, and 2106.90.99 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and U.S. Customs Service (Customs) purposes, the Department's written description of the merchandise under this order is dispositive.

BACKGROUND:

On December 31, 2002, the American Honey Producers Association and the Sioux Honey Association (collectively "petitioners") requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order (*See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Honey from Argentina*, 66 FR 63672 (December 10, 2001)) on honey from Argentina in response to the Department's notice of opportunity to request a review published in the **Federal Register**. The petitioners requested the Department conduct an administrative review of entries of subject merchandise made by 21 Argentine producers/exporters. In addition, the Department received requests for reviews from 9 Argentine exporters. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 68 FR 3009 (January 22, 2003).

The Department initiated the review for all companies. On January 17, 2003, petitioners requested a withdrawal of request for review of 14 companies and the Department granted this request in *Honey from Argentina: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 68 FR 13895 (March 21, 2003).

On August 13, 2003, two Argentine exporters, Radix S.r.L. ("Radix") and Compania Europeo Americana S.A. ("CEASA"), submitted letters of withdrawal of request for review. On the same date, petitioners also submitted a letter of withdrawal of a request for review with respect to Radix and CEASA.

Ordinarily, parties have 90 days from the publication of the notice of initiation of review in which to withdraw a request for review. *See* 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). We received