

or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations.

II. No person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the denied person any item subject to the Regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by a person subject to this order of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby a person subject to this order acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from a person subject to this order of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from a person subject to this order in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by a person subject to this order, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by a person subject to this order if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

III. After notice and opportunity for comment as provided in section 766.23 of the Regulations, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Gunter Kohlke by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order.

IV. This Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the Regulations where the only items involved that are subject to the Regulations are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

V. This Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until July 18, 2012.

VI. In accordance with part 756 of the Regulations, Kohlke may file an appeal from this Order with the Under Secretary for Industry and Security. The appeal must be filed within 45 days from the date of this Order and must comply with the provisions of part 756 of the Regulations.

VII. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to Kohlke. This Order shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: September 5, 2003.

Eileen M. Albanese,

Director, Office of Exporter Services.

[FR Doc. 03-23128 Filed 9-10-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-838]

Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain softwood lumber products from Canada for the period *May 22, 2002, through April 30, 2003*. We are now rescinding this review with respect to 48 companies for which the requests for an administrative review have been withdrawn.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 11, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amber Musser or Constance Handley, at (202) 482-1777 or (202) 482-0631, respectively; AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 5, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 1, 2003, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request the first administrative review of this order. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 68 FR 23281 (May 1, 2003). On May 30, 2003, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), the Coalition for Fair Lumber Imports Executive Committee (the petitioner) requested a review of 192 producers/exporters of certain

softwood lumber products. Also, between the dates of May 7, 2003, and June 2, 2003, 338 Canadian producers requested a review on their own behalf or had a review of their company requested by a U.S. importer. Taking into consideration the overlap in the three aforementioned categories, the total number of companies currently under review is 422.

On July 1, 2003, the Department published a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review, covering the period May 22, 2002, through April 30, 2003, *See Initiation of Antidumping Administrative Review*, 68 FR 39059 (July 1, 2003). The initiation, and subsequent correction, covered 422 companies.¹

On July 18, 2003, the petitioner withdrew its review request for 63 companies. On August 4, 2003, the petitioner withdrew its request for two additional companies. Of these 65 companies, eight had either requested their own review or had a review of their company requested by a U.S. importer. Accordingly, the Department has not rescinded the review with respect to these eight companies.

In addition, two of the companies for which the petitioner withdrew its request for a review, Lakeland Mills Ltd. and The Pas Lumber Co. Ltd., are affiliated with Canfor Corporation. Two of the companies, Excel Forest Products and Produits Forestiers Temrex Usine St. Alphonse, Inc., are affiliated with Tembec Inc. Two of the companies, Fraser, Inc. and Norbord Industries, Inc., are affiliated with Nexfor Inc. One of the companies, Groupe Cedrico, is affiliated with Bois d'oeuvre Cedrico Inc. And, one of the companies, Max Meilleur & Fils Ltee, is affiliated with Cobodex, Inc.² Therefore, because Canfor Corporation, Tembec Inc., Nexfor Inc., Bois d'oeuvre Cedrico Inc., and Cobodex, Inc. made timely requests for review the Department has not rescinded the review with respect to their affiliates.

Finally, the Department has not rescinded the review with respect to Leggett Wood because it is an operating division and registered trade name for

¹ Buchanan Lumber, a distinct entity from Buchanan Lumber Sales Inc., was inadvertently omitted from the original initiation notice. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Requests for Revocation in Part and Deferral of Administrative Reviews*, 68 FR 44253 (July 29, 2003).

² See Kaye Scholer LLP's July 16, 2003, submission, Baker & Hostetler's July 16, 2003, submission, Howrey Simon Arnold & White's August 5, 2003, submission, and Wilmer, Cutler, & Pickering's August 20, 2003, submissions.