

TABLE B.—REQUIREMENT LIST—Continued

Registrant Affected	Active Ingredient	Requirement Name	Guideline Reference Number	Due Date
		Description of production process	158.162	12/30/01
		Description of formulation process	158.165	12/30/01
		Discussion of impurity formation	158.167	12/30/01
		Preliminary analysis	158.170	12/30/01
		Certification of limits	158.175	12/30/01
		Enforcement analytical method	158.180	12/30/01
		Color	63–2	12/30/01
		Physical state	63–3	12/30/01
		Odor	63–4	12/30/01
		Density	63–7	12/30/01
		pH	63–12	12/30/01
		Oxidation/reduction	63–14	12/30/01
		Flammability	63–15	12/30/01
		Explosibility	63–16	12/30/01
		Storage stability	63–17	12/30/01
		Viscosity	63–18	12/30/01
		Miscibility	63–19	12/30/01
		Corrosion characteristics	63–20	12/30/01
		Dielectric breakdown voltage	63–21	12/30/01
		Acute oral toxicity	81–1	06/30/01
		Acute dermal toxicity	81.2	06/30/01
		Acute inhalation toxicity	81–3	06/30/01
		Primary eye irritation	81–4	06/30/01
		Primary dermal irritation	81–5	06/30/01
		Skin sensitization	81–6	06/30/01
Voluntary Purchasing Group	Methyl nonyl ketone	Storage stability	63–17 (830–6317)	8/28/00
		Corrosion characteristics	63-20 (830–6320)	8/28/00

## VI. Attachment III Suspension Report—Explanatory Appendix

The Explanatory Appendix provides a discussion of the basis for the Notice of Intent to Suspend issued herewith.

### A. Bensulide

On July 11, 2000, the Agency issued the Phase 5 Reregistration Eligibility Document Data Call-In Notice pursuant to sections 4(g)(2)(B) and 3(c)(2)(B) of FIFRA which required the registrants of products containing bensulide used as an

active ingredient to develop and submit certain data. These data/information were determined to be necessary to satisfy reregistration requirements of section 4(g). Failure to comply with the requirements of a Phase 5 Reregistration Eligibility Document Data Call-In Notice is a basis for suspension under section 3(c)(2)(B) of FIFRA.

The Scotts Company (Scotts) received the Bensulide Reregistration Eligibility Document (RED) on July 20, 2000, as evidenced by a U.S. Postal Service domestic return receipt card. Therefore, the 90-day response was due on October 20, 2000, and the 8-month response was due on March 20, 2001.

In its 90-day response, dated October 30, 2000 (received by the Agency on November 2, 2000), Scotts requested a time extension to submit their product-specific data. For the acute toxicity date, the company requested a time extension until June 30, 2001, and for the product chemistry data, it requested an extension until December 30, 2001. The Agency in a letter dated December 18, 2000 granted Scotts time extension requests.

To date, no product chemistry or acute toxicity data have been submitted to the Agency for EPA Registration No. 538–164. Because Scott has failed to submit the