

*Virginia Electric and Power Company, Docket Nos. 50-280 and 50-281, Surry Power Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Surry County, Virginia*

*Date of amendments request:*

December 19, 2002.

*Description of amendments request:*

The proposed changes would revise the Technical Specifications (TS) to Facility Operating License Nos. DRP-32 and DRP-37 for Surry Power Station, Units 1 and 2, respectively, to reflect changes in regulations, correct typographical and editorial errors made in previous TS revisions, and to revise TS cross-references to Updated Final Safety Analysis Report sections.

*Basis for proposed no significant hazards consideration determination:*

As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

Dominion has reviewed the requirements of 10 CFR 50.92 as they relate to the proposed administrative change to the Surry Power Station Units 1 and 2 Technical Specifications (TS) and Bases. The proposed change to the Surry TS makes administrative revisions to reflect changes in regulations, corrects editorial and typographical errors from previous TS revisions, and revises TS cross-references to Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) sections. Due to the strictly administrative nature of the proposed TS change, we have determined that a significant hazards consideration does not exist. The basis for this determination is provided as follows:

1. Does the proposed license amendment involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

The proposed change is administrative in nature and as such does not impact the condition or performance of any plant structure, system or component. The proposed administrative change does not affect the initiators of any previously analyzed event nor the assumed mitigation of accident or transient events. As a result, the proposed change to the Surry Technical Specifications does not involve any increase in the probability [nor] the consequences of any accident or malfunction of equipment important to safety previously evaluated since neither accident probabilities or consequences are being affected by this proposed administrative change.

2. Does the proposed license amendment create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

The proposed change is administrative in nature, and therefore does not involve any changes in station operation or physical modifications to the plant. In addition, no changes are being made in the methods used to respond to plant transients that have been previously analyzed. No changes are being made to plant parameters within which the plant is normally operated or in the

setpoints, which initiate protective or mitigative actions and no new failure modes are being introduced. Therefore, the proposed administrative change to the Surry Technical Specifications does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident or malfunction of equipment important to safety from any previously evaluated.

3. Does the proposed amendment involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

The proposed change is administrative in nature, and does not impact station operation or any plant structure, system or component that is relied upon for accident mitigation. Furthermore, the margin of safety assumed in the plant safety analysis is not affected in any way by the administrative "cleanup" of the Surry Technical Specifications. Therefore, the proposed administrative change to the Surry Technical Specifications does not involve a reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

*Attorney for licensee:* Ms. Lillian M. Cuoco, Esq., Senior Nuclear Counsel, Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc., Millstone Power Station, Building 475, 5th Floor, Rope Ferry Road, Rt. 156, Waterford, Connecticut 06385.

*NRC Section Chief:* John A. Nakoski.

**Notice of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses**

During the period since publication of the last biweekly notice, the Commission has issued the following amendments. The Commission has determined for each of these amendments that the application complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for A Hearing in connection with these actions was published in the **Federal Register** as indicated.

Unless otherwise indicated, the Commission has determined that these amendments satisfy the criteria for categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for these

amendments. If the Commission has prepared an environmental assessment under the special circumstances provision in 10 CFR 51.12(b) and has made a determination based on that assessment, it is so indicated.

For further details with respect to the action see (1) the applications for amendment, (2) the amendment, and (3) the Commission's related letter, Safety Evaluation and/or Environmental Assessment as indicated. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's PDR, located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management Systems (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737 or by email to [pdr@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr@nrc.gov).

*AmerGen Energy Company, LLC, Docket No. 50-289, Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1, Dauphin County, Pennsylvania*

*Date of application for amendment:* December 19, 2001, as supplemented July 30, 2002, and November 14, 2002.

*Brief description of amendment:* The amendment includes a revision of the Technical Specification (TS) Limiting Conditions for Operation 3.4, "Decay Heat Removal Capability," conforming changes to TS Table 3.5-2, "Accident Monitoring Instruments," and TS 4.9.1.2, "Decay Heat Removal—Periodic Testing," and numerous editorial changes.

*Date of issuance:* January 16, 2003.

*Effective date:* As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 60 days.

*Amendment No.:* 242.

*Facility Operating License No. DPR-50:* Amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

*Date of initial notice in **Federal Register**:* March 19, 2002 (67 FR 12598).

The supplements dated July 30, and November 14, 2002, provided additional information that clarified the application, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the NRC staff's original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a