

On February 24, 2003, the Department of Commerce (the Department) received a timely request for administrative review of the antidumping duty order on MTPs from Japan from respondent Hitachi Zosen Corporation (HZC), and its subsidiary Hitachi Zosen Fukui Corporation d/b/a H&F Corporation (H&F). On February 27, 2003, the Department received a timely request from the petitioner, IHI-Verson Press Technology, LLC, for an administrative review of HZC and H&F. On February 28, 2003, HZC and H&F submitted a request that the Department revoke the order with respect to HZC and H&F based on the absence of dumping in three consecutive reviews. On March 25, 2003, the Department published a notice of initiation of this administrative review, covering the period of February 1, 2002 through January 31, 2003 (*see* 68 FR 14394), for HZC and its subsidiary H&F. The preliminary results for HZC/H&F are currently due no later than October 31, 2003.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

As a result of the fact that mechanical transfer presses are unique to each customer because they are designed to detailed specifications, there are complex issues with respect to normal value. In addition, HZC/H&F has requested revocation with respect to the order. Given these facts, it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. The Department is therefore extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of this review by 120 days, from October 31, 2003, until no later than February 28, 2004, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results. This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(I)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 7, 2003.

Richard O. Weible,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.

[FR Doc. 03-26079 Filed 10-14-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-839]

Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of 2001-2002 Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On June 9, 2003, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from Korea. The period of review is May 1, 2001, through April 30, 2002. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based on our analysis of the comments received and an examination of our calculations, we have made certain changes for the final results. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the two manufacturer/exporters are listed below in the "Final Results of the Review" section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 15, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andrew McAllister or Judith Rudman, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1174, or (202) 482-0192, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Since the publication of the preliminary results in this review (*see Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from Korea; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Review*, 68 FR 34378 (June 9, 2003) ("Preliminary Results")), the following events have occurred:

The Department reported its findings from Huvis Corporation's ("Huvis") cost verification on July 2, 2003. *See* Memorandum from Robert Greger and Mark Todd to Neal Halper, Director, Office of Accounting, "Verification Report on the Cost of Production and Constructed Value Data Submitted by Huvis Corporation," dated July 2, 2003 ("Huvis Cost Verification Report"), which is on file in the Department's Central Records Unit ("CRU") in room B-099 of the main Department building.

We invited parties to comment on the preliminary results of the review. On

July 22, 2003, E.I. DuPont de Nemours, Inc., Arteva Specialties S.a.r.l., d/b/a KoSa, Wellman, Inc., and Intercontinental Polymers, Inc. (collectively "the petitioners"), and the respondents East Young Co., Ltd. ("East Young")/Stein Fibers, Ltd. ("Stein Fibers") and Huvis filed case briefs. On July 28, 2003, the above-mentioned parties, with the exception of East Young/Stein Fibers, filed rebuttal briefs.

Scope of the Order

For the purposes of this order, the product covered is certain polyester staple fiber ("PSF"). PSF is defined as synthetic staple fibers, not carded, combed or otherwise processed for spinning, of polyesters measuring 3.3 decitex (3 denier, inclusive) or more in diameter. This merchandise is cut to lengths varying from one inch (25 mm) to five inches (127 mm). The merchandise subject to this order may be coated, usually with a silicon or other finish, or not coated. PSF is generally used as stuffing in sleeping bags, mattresses, ski jackets, comforters, cushions, pillows, and furniture. Merchandise of less than 3.3 decitex (less than 3 denier) currently classifiable under the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* ("HTSUS") at subheading 5503.20.00.20 is specifically excluded from this order. Also specifically excluded from this order are polyester staple fibers of 10 to 18 denier that are cut to lengths of 6 to 8 inches (fibers used in the manufacture of carpeting). In addition, low-melt PSF is excluded from this order. Low-melt PSF is defined as a bi-component fiber with an outer sheath that melts at a significantly lower temperature than its inner core.

The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable in the HTSUS at subheadings 5503.20.00.45 and 5503.20.00.65. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under order is dispositive.

Period of Review

The period of review ("POR") is May 1, 2001, through April 30, 2002.

Verification

As stated in the *Preliminary Results* and provided in section 782(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), we verified information provided by East Young and Huvis using standard verification procedures, including on-site inspection of the manufacturers' facilities, examination of relevant sales, cost and financial records, and selection