

application from local Continuum of Care networks and/or as part of the housing strategy described in your Consolidated Plan. If the boundaries of your participating jurisdiction do not coincide with those of the local Continuum of Care or if there is no active Continuum of Care network in your jurisdiction, please explain this as part of your narrative.

*Selection Criterion 1: Commitment (up to 25 points—pre-scored)*

The applicant's demonstrated commitment to expand the supply of affordable rental housing, as indicated by the additional number of units of affordable housing made available through production or rehabilitation within the previous two years, making adjustment for regional variations in construction and rehabilitation costs and giving special consideration to the number of additional units made available under HOME through production or rehabilitation in relation to the amounts made available under HOME.

In scoring this criterion, HUD used Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS) reports consisting of information provided by the PJs on the number of HOME-assisted rental units completed over the past two years (from July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2003), adjusting for variations in construction costs and the size of HOME allocations. The PJs were then rank-ordered from highest to lowest by the adjusted number of rental units produced or rehabilitated. PJs with no HOME-assisted rental units produced or rehabilitated received zero points. The remaining PJs were divided into 25 equal groups, adjusted for ties, with the group having the most such units receiving 25 points, the next group receiving 24 points and so on. (See Appendix 1 for the score assigned to your PJ for this criterion.)

*Submission Requirements for Selection Criterion 1:*

No submission required.

*Selection Criterion 2: Actions (up to 50 points—pre-scored)*

This criterion consists of four parts and rates the applicant's actions that:

*Part A: (up to 15 points—pre-scored):* Direct funds made available under HOME to benefit very low-income families, with a range of incomes, in numbers that exceed the income-targeting requirements of HOME, with extra consideration given for activities that expand the supply of affordable housing for very-low-income families whose incomes do not exceed 30 percent of the median income for the

area (*i.e.*, extremely low-income), as determined by HUD.

In scoring this part, HUD used Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS) reports consisting of information provided by the PJs on the percentage of their completed units over the period of their participation in the HOME Program occupied by very low- and extremely low-income households, with double weighting given the extremely low-income segment. The PJs were then rank-ordered from highest to lowest by the weighted percentage of units occupied by the very low- and extremely low-income households. PJs with fewer than 20 units indicated as being occupied by these households or with less than 70 percent of completed rental units occupied received zero points. The remaining PJs were divided into 15 equal groups, adjusted for ties, with the group having the highest adjusted percentage receiving 15 points, the next group receiving 14 points and so on. (See Appendix 1 for the score assigned to your PJ for this part of criterion 2.)

*Submission Requirements for Selection Criterion 2, part A:*

No submission required.

*Part B: (up to 10 points—pre-scored):* Provide matching resources in excess of funds required under the HOME requirements.

In scoring this part, HUD used HUD field office reports on the status of PJs in meeting their regular HOME Program match requirement for the past two completed reporting periods. Those PJs having met or exceeded their match liability over this period received 10 points. Those PJs not having met their match liability in one or more of the past two completed reporting periods received zero points. (See Appendix 1 for the score assigned to your PJ for this part of criterion 2.)

*Submission Requirements for Selection Criterion 2, part B:*

No submission required.

*Part C: (up to 15 points—pre-scored):* Stimulate a high degree of participation in development by the private sector, including non-profit organizations.

In scoring this part, HUD used Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS) reports consisting of information provided by the PJs to determine that at least one of the multi-family (5-units or more) rental projects completed within the past two years within the participating jurisdiction was funded through the eligible use of the CHDO set-aside. The focus was on CHDO rental projects in this part since funds awarded in this competition must be used by CHDOs for

eligible CHDO set-aside projects. Those PJs having met this standard received 15 points. Those PJs not having met this standard received zero points. (See Appendix 1 for the score assigned to your PJ for this part of criterion 2.)

*Submission Requirements for Selection Criterion 2, part C:*

No submission required.

*Part D: (up to 10 points—pre-scored):* Stimulate a high degree of investment in development by the private sector, including non-profit organizations.

In scoring this part, HUD used Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS) reports consisting of information provided by the PJs to determine the extent to which, in percentages, each PJ was leveraging private funds with HOME dollars invested in completed projects. The PJs were then rank-ordered from highest to lowest by the leveraging percentage. PJs with no leveraging indicated in IDIS, or less than \$50,000 in HOME funds invested overall in completed projects, received zero points. The remaining PJs were divided into 10 equal groups, adjusted for ties, with the group having the highest percentage receiving 10 points, the next group receiving 9 points and so on. (See Appendix 1 for the score assigned to your PJ for this part of criterion 2.)

*Submission Requirements for Selection Criterion 2, part D:*

No submission required.

*Selection Criterion 3: Policies (up to 25 points)*

This criterion examines the degree to which your PJ is pursuing policies that:

- (A) Make existing housing more affordable;
- (B) Remove or ameliorate any negative effects that public policies identified by you in your Consolidated Plan may have on the cost of housing or the incentives to develop, maintain, or improve affordable housing in the jurisdiction;
- (C) Preserve the affordability of privately owned housing that is vulnerable to conversion, demolition, disinvestment, or abandonment;
- (D) Increase the supply of housing that is affordable to very low-income and low-income persons, particularly in areas that are accessible to expanding job opportunities; and
- (E) Remedy the effects of discrimination and improve housing opportunities for disadvantaged minorities.

*Submission Requirements for Selection Criterion 3:*

(1) Identify for each of the five goals listed above the policy or policies currently being implemented by your PJ to achieve the goal.