

of defensible space principles and the installation of physical security systems such as surveillance equipment, control engineering systems, *etc.*(viii) Enhancing on-going efforts to eliminate drugs and crime from neighborhoods through collaborative efforts with Federal, State, and local crime prevention programs and entities such as:

(A) Local law enforcement agencies;
(B) Your local United States Attorney;
(C) The Weed and Seed Program, if the targeted project is located in a designated Weed and Seed area. Operation Weed and Seed is a multi-agency strategy that “weeds out” violent crime, gang activity, drug use, and drug trafficking in targeted neighborhoods and then “seeds” the target area by restoring these neighborhoods through social and economic revitalization. Law enforcement activities constitute the “weed” portion of the program. Revitalization, which includes prevention, intervention, and treatment services as well as neighborhood restoration, constitutes the “seed” element. HUD has provided the Department of Justice with \$10 million to fund Weed and Seed Strategies that fight crime and drugs in public, Indian, and federally assisted housing. For more information, see the Community and Safety and Conservation Web site at www.hud.gov/offices/pih/divisions/cscd/.

(B) Rating Factor: Capacity—20 Points Total

The term “your Team” includes your HOPE VI Coordinator (an individual designated by the PHA who may be a staff member or contractor), PHA staff who will be involved in HOPE VI grant administration, and developer partners, program managers, property managers, subcontractors, consultants, attorneys, financial consultants, and other entities or individuals identified and/or proposed to carry out program activities.

(1) *Development Capacity.*

(a) Capacity of Developer—6 Points.

(i) You will receive 6 Points if:

(A) Your Developer or other Team members have extensive, recent (within the last five years), and successful experience in planning, implementing, and managing physical development, financing, leveraging, and partnership activities that are comparable in character, scale, and complexity to your proposed revitalization activities;

(B) You propose development using low-income tax credits, and you, your Developer, or other Team members have relevant tax credit experience; and

(C) If homeownership, rent-to-own, cooperative ownership, or other major development components are proposed,

you, your Developer, or other Team members have relevant, successful experience in development, sales, and/or conversion activities.

(ii) You will receive 4 Points if your Developer or other Team members have some but not extensive experience in the factors described above.

(iii) You will receive 0 Points if your Developer or other Team members do not have the experience described and the application does not demonstrate that it has the capacity to carry out your Revitalization Plan. You will also receive 0 Points if there is inadequate information in your application to rate this factor.

(b) Development Capacity of Applicant—6 Points.

(i) You will receive 6 Points if:

(A) You have identified potential gaps in your current staffing in relation to development activities, and you have plans to fill such gaps, internally or externally, in a timely manner in order to successfully implement your Revitalization Plan;

(B) You have demonstrated that physical development activities will proceed as promptly as possible following grant award, and you will be able to begin significant construction within 23 months of the award of the grant.

(C) *Threshold:* Your application must contain a program schedule that provides a feasible plan to meet the schedule requirements of Section XVI(C) of this NOFA, with no impediments such as litigation that would prevent timely startup. The program schedule must indicate the date on which the development proposal for each phase of the revitalization plan will be submitted to HUD.

(D) Your management experience and previous experience with development activities demonstrates that you have experience in overseeing large scale development, whether it be in-house or implemented by a private entity. In your application, you will describe the dollar amount and timeframe for completion of the project(s); and

(E) As of the HOPE VI Revitalization application due date, you do not have any outstanding Comprehensive Grant, Comprehensive Improvement Assistance Program, or Capital Fund Program IG audit findings. If you have such a finding, you cannot receive 6 Points.

(ii) You will receive 4 Points if you have had experience in managing large scale development in accordance with the factors above, but your experience has not been extensive and/or your project(s) were not completed within

the timeframe originally established for the project.

(iii) You will receive 1 Point if your application indicates that you have had little experience in managing large-scale development projects. Or, you will receive 1 Point if you have experience described in (i) or (ii) above, but have an outstanding audit finding related to the Comprehensive Grant program, Comprehensive Improvement Assistance Program, or Capital Fund Program.

(iv) You will receive 0 Points if you do not demonstrate any experience in managing development activities, or if there is inadequate information in your application to rate this factor.

(2) *Capacity of Existing HOPE VI Revitalization Grantees.* This section applies only to applicants that have received HOPE VI Revitalization grants for fiscal years 1993–2000. If an applicant has more than one HOPE VI Revitalization grant, each will be rated separately, not averaged, and the highest deduction will be made. Applicants with HOPE VI Revitalization grants only from FY 2001 or FY 2002, or no existing HOPE VI Revitalization grants are not subject to this section. As indicated in the following tables, up to 5 Points will be deducted if a Grantee has failed to achieve adequate progress in relation to cumulative unit production goals. Production achievement numbers will be taken from the quarterly reporting system for the quarter most recently completed at the time the NOFA is published in the **Federal Register**.

Percent of unit production completed	Points deducted
Grants Awarded in FY 1993–1996	
95–100	0
90–94	1
85–89	2
80–84	3
75–79	4
Less than 75	5
Grants Awarded in FY 1997	
90–100	0
80–89	1
75–79	2
70–74	3
65–69	4
Less than 65	5
Grants Awarded in FY 1998	
80–100	0
70–79	1
60–69	2
50–59	3
40–49	4
Less than 40	5