

## Criteria and Points

Each application will be evaluated and scored on the basis of the following criteria:

- (1) Juvenile crime statistics (25%);
- (2) Percentage of eligible 6th, 7th, and 8th grade students the applicant proposes to teach, and the percentage of eligible students previously taught the G.R.E.A.T. core curriculum (35%);
- (3) Presence of curriculum reinforcement programs (25%) (such as Elementary, After School/Summer Education/Booster Classes, and Family Component/Parent Involvement programs); and
- (4) Support of National G.R.E.A.T. Program Training (15%).

**Criterion 1.** This criterion measures the magnitude of an applicant's youth crime problem using the number of Part I and II offenses reported in the Uniform Crime Reports (UCR) published annually by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI). Part I and II offenses are defined and listed in Appendix II of the UCR. Please note that the most current UCR is usually two years in arrears. ATF will obtain the required juvenile crime figures directly from the FBI. Applicants must indicate which service area (*i.e.*, city, county, etc.) that ATF should use to obtain their most recent UCR juvenile crime figures.

In the event that an applicant does not provide annual data to the FBI for the UCR, the applicant should contact the G.R.E.A.T. Branch to determine how it can best submit information to measure its youth crime statistics.

**Criterion 2.** This criterion will measure middle school participation and consists of two sections:

- **Section A.** An applicant will receive points based on the percentage of middle school students proposed to be taught G.R.E.A.T. compared to the total population of middle school students in the jurisdiction.
- **Section B.** An applicant will receive points based on the percentage of middle school students who were taught G.R.E.A.T. during the last school year compared to last year's total population of eligible middle school students that could have been taught.

**Criterion 3.** This criterion is used to identify applicants who currently have life skills programs in place that reinforce the effectiveness of the G.R.E.A.T. middle school core curriculum. Life skill programs are those programs that instruct students in skills such as communication, active listening, empathy, avoiding peer pressure, conflict resolution, decision making, responsibility, citizenship, goal setting, cultural sensitivity, and

behavior/anger management. Applicants will be asked to identify elementary, middle, and high school programs, as well as other summer, parent/family, and after school programs, in their service area.

**Criterion 4.** The G.R.E.A.T. Program depends on G.R.E.A.T. Officers to act as National Training Team (NTT) instructors at ATF's G.R.E.A.T. Officer Trainings sessions. Without this support, the program could not function. This criterion will recognize and reward applicants who provide NTT members for G.R.E.A.T. Officer training as delineated in the cooperative agreement.

## Other Considerations

ATF will consider past year awardees previous spending of G.R.E.A.T. funds when determining their future funding levels. Unless sufficient documentation and support is supplied, applicants will not be funded at higher levels if past year spending indicates funds were underutilized. In order to assure that G.R.E.A.T. funds are spent in a fiscally responsible manner, ATF will also consider the cost per child for an applicant to conduct the program when awarding funds. ATF defines an agency's cost-per-child as the number of children to be taught divided by the eligible awarded funds.

## Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA)

For the purpose of tracking Federal funds used in grants and cooperative agreements, the G.R.E.A.T. Program has been assigned CFDA number 21.053.

## Paperwork Reduction Act

The collection of information contained in this notice has been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507(d)) under control number 1140-0048. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number.

## Authority and Issuance

This notice is issued pursuant to Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A-102 (Grants and Cooperative Agreements with State and Local Governments).

Approved: October 15, 2003.

**Bradley A. Buckles,**  
Director.

[FR Doc. 03-26774 Filed 10-22-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-FY-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

### United States v. National Council on Problem Gambling, Inc.; Public Comment and Plaintiff's Supplemental Response

Pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. Sections 16(b) and (d), the United States hereby publishes below an additional written comment received on the proposed Final Judgment in *United States of America v. National Council on Problem Gambling, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 1:03CF01278 filed in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, together with the United States' supplemental response to the comment. Copies of the comment and the United States' supplemental response are available for inspection at the United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 325 Seventh Street, NW., Suite 200, Washington, DC 20530, and at the Office of the Clerk for the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, E. Barrett Prettyman Building, 333 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20001.

**Dorothy B. Fountain,**  
*Deputy Director of Operations.*

### United States District Court, District of Columbia

United States of America, 209 S. LaSalle Street, Suite 600, Chicago, IL 60604, Plaintiff, versus National Council on Problem Gambling, Inc., 208 G Street, NE., Washington, DC 20002, Defendant. Civil Action No. 1:03CF01278. Judge: Henry H. Kennedy.

### Supplemental Response to Public Comments

Pursuant to the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h) ("APPA" or "Tunney Act"), the United States hereby responds to one additional public comment received regarding the Proposed Final Judgment in this case. This response supplements the Response to Public Comments filed by the United States on September 17, 2003.

#### I. Background

On June 13, 2003, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that the National Council on Problem Gambling, Inc. ("NCPG") had orchestrated an unlawful territorial allocation of problem gambling products and services along state lines in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. 1. Simultaneously with the filing of the Complaint, the United States filed a Proposed Final Judgment. A Competitive Impact Statement ("CIS") was also filed with the Court at that time, and published in the **Federal Register**, along with the Proposed Final Judgment, on June 26, 2003 (*see* FR 38,093). Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 16(c), a