

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA has submitted the following ICR to OMB for review and approval according to the procedures prescribed in 5 CFR 1320.12. The **Federal Register** document, required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on February 26, 2003 (68 FR 8890). EPA received no comments on this ICR during the 60-day comment period.

EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under Docket ID No. OPP-2003-0005, which is available for public viewing at the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA. This docket facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The docket telephone number is (703) 305-5805. An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA Dockets (EDOCKET) at <http://www.epa.gov/edocket>. Use EDOCKET to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the docket ID number identified above.

Any comments related to this ICR should be submitted to EPA and OMB within 30 days of this notice. Please note, EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or on paper, will be made available for public viewing in EDOCKET as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, CBI, or other information whose public disclosure is restricted by statute. When EPA identifies a comment containing copyrighted material, EPA will provide a reference to that material in the version of the comment that is placed in EDOCKET. The entire printed comment, including the copyrighted material, will be available in the public docket. Although identified as an item in the official docket, information claimed as CBI, or whose disclosure is otherwise restricted by statute, is not included in the official public docket, and will not be available for public viewing in EDOCKET. For further information about the electronic docket, see EPA's **Federal Register** notice describing the electronic docket at 67 FR 38102 (May 31, 2002), or go to <http://www.epa.gov/edocket>.

ICR Title: Tolerance Petitions for Pesticides on Food/Feed Crops and New Inert Ingredients (EPA ICR 0278.08, OMB Control No. 2070-0044).

ICR Status: This is a request for extension of an existing approved

collection that is currently scheduled to expire on October 31, 2003. EPA is asking OMB to approve this ICR for three years. Under 5 CFR 1320.12(b)(2), the Agency may continue to conduct or sponsor the collection of information while the submission is pending at OMB.

Abstract: This collection activity provides the Agency with notification of supplemental registration of distributors of pesticide products. EPA is responsible for the regulation of pesticides as mandated by FIFRA. Section 3(e) of FIFRA allows pesticide registrants to distribute or sell a registered pesticide product under a different name instead of or in addition to their own. Such distribution and sale is termed "supplemental distribution" and the product is termed a "distributor product." EPA requires the pesticide registrant to submit a supplemental statement (EPA Form 8570-5, *Notice of Supplemental Distribution of a Registered Pesticide Product*) when the registrant has entered into an agreement with a second company that will distribute the registrant's product under the second company's name and product name.

Burden Statement: The annual "respondent" burden for this ICR is estimated to be 1,000 hours, or 15 minutes per response. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act, "burden" means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. For this collection, it is the time reading the regulations, planning the necessary data collection activities, conducting tests, analyzing data, generating reports and completing other required paperwork, and storing, filing, and maintaining the data. The agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for this information collection appears at the beginning and the end of this document. In addition OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations, after initial display in the final rule, are listed in 40 CFR part 9.

The following is a summary of the burden estimates taken from the ICR:

Respondents/affected entities:
Pesticide registrants.

Estimated total number of potential respondents: 1,900.

Frequency of response: As needed.

Estimated total/average number of responses for each respondent: 2.

Estimated total annual burden hours: 1,000.

Estimated total annual burden costs: \$96,000.

Changes in the ICR Since the Last Approval: The total estimated annual respondent cost for this ICR has decreased 250 hours (from 1,250 to 1,000), due mainly to a decrease in the number of responses expected. Estimated costs have decreased \$24,000 (from \$120,000 to \$96,000) for the same reason. These decreases are explained more fully in the ICR.

According to the procedures prescribed in 5 CFR 1320.12, EPA has submitted this ICR to OMB for review and approval. Any comments related to the renewal of this ICR should be submitted within 30 days of this notice, as described above.

Dated: October 16, 2003.

Doreen Sterling,

Acting Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. 03-27162 Filed 10-27-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 03-12]

San Diego Unified Port District v. Pacific Maritime Association; Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment

Notice is given that a complaint has been filed by San Diego Unified Port District ("Complainant") against Pacific Maritime Association ("Respondent"). Complaint contends that Respondent took action that reprioritized the unloading of cargo ships at the Port of San Diego ("Port"). The Complaint claims that this "action took away priority unloading of refrigerated cargo" which allegedly has put the Port at a competitive disadvantage with other Southern California ports, and has further exacerbated already existing labor problems at the Port. Complaint alleges that Respondent's actions violate sections 10(d)(1), (2) and (4) of the Shipping Act of 1984, 46 U.S.C. app.1709. Complainant seeks an order finding Respondent to have violated the sections cited above, directing Respondent to cease and desist, and awarding reparations for the unlawful conduct in an amount of \$87,814, with interest and counsel's fees.

This proceeding has been assigned to the Office of Administrative Law Judges. Hearing in this matter, if any is held, shall commence with the time limitations prescribed in 46 CFR 502.61, and only after consideration has been given by the parties and the presiding officer to the use of alternative forms of dispute resolution. The hearing shall