

(the Act), the 2002 Agreement provides for the implementation of reference prices below which the signatory producers/exporters agree not to sell the subject merchandise. As specified in section IV.G of the 2002 Agreement, the Department agreed to conduct an analysis of the reference prices in order to evaluate whether the 2002 Agreement fulfills the requirements of section 734(c)(1)(A) of the Act. Based on our findings, we have determined that it is appropriate to increase the reference price for the winter season (October 23 through June 30) from \$0.2108 per pound to \$0.2169 per pound. The reference price for the summer season (July 1 through October 22) will remain at \$0.172 per pound.

The new reference price for the winter season of \$0.2169 per pound is effective November 1, 2003. The new box-weight chart, which reflects a new reference price for the winter season applied to common box types that are shipped from Mexico to the United States, can be viewed at the following URL: <http://www.ia.ita.doc.gov/tomato/new-agreement/documents/boxweightchart.html>.

#### Clarifications and Corrections

After publication of the 2002 Agreement the Department received several requests for clarification and it identified certain ministerial errors in the 2002 Agreement. On May 21, 2003, the Department released proposed clarifications and corrections to interested parties and invited them to comment. The signatories of the 2002 Agreement filed comments on May 30, 2003, proposing several modifications. No other party commented on the proposed clarification and corrections.

After reviewing the comments, the Department has decided to make the following clarifications and corrections to the 2002 Agreement:

1. To correct a ministerial error in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section, the telephone number for Janis Kalnins should be (202) 482-1392.

2. To correct a typographical error, the first sentence of section A.2. of Appendix B should read as follows:

When normal value is based on constructed value, the Department will compute constructed values (CVs) for each growing season based on the sum of each respondent's growing costs for each type of tomato plus amounts for selling, general, and administrative expenses (SG&A).

3. To correct a typographical error, the current URL of the website identified in the second sentence of the third paragraph of Appendix C, the last sentence of the fifth paragraph of

Appendix D, the third sentence of the last paragraph of Appendix E, and the third sentence of number four of Appendix F is: [http://ia.ita.doc.gov/tomato/new-agreement/documents/suggested\\_forms](http://ia.ita.doc.gov/tomato/new-agreement/documents/suggested_forms).

4. To correct a ministerial error, the third sentence of section A.2. of Appendix D should read as follows:

For purposes of this Agreement, a condition defect is any condition defect listed in the chart in part A.5. below.

5. Appendix D, section A.5. should read as follows:

Under this Agreement, adjustments to the sales price of signatory tomatoes will be permitted only for condition defects. The term "condition defect" is intended to have the same definition recognized by the Fresh Products Branch of the United States Department of Agriculture, with the exception of abnormal coloring, and, therefore, covers the following items:

#### Condition Defects

Sunken & Discolored Areas

Sunburn

Internal Discoloration

Freezing Injury

Chilling Injury

Gray Mold Rot

Bacterial Soft Rot

Soft/Decay<sup>1</sup>

Bruising

Nailhead Spot

Skin Checks

Decayed and Moldy Stems

Waxy Blister

White Core

Discolored or Dried-out Jelly Around Seeds

6. To ensure consistency with the actual entry documentation currently used by Canadian Customs, the Department will interpret the parenthetical reference to "Landing Form" as referring to Form B3 or the Canada Customs Coding Form.

7. To correct a typographical error, the title of Appendix F should read as follows:

Appendix F—Suspension of Antidumping Investigation—Fresh Tomatoes From Mexico—Procedure Signatories Must Follow for Selling Subject Merchandise for Processing.

Dated: October 29, 2003.

**James J. Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-27695 Filed 10-31-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

<sup>1</sup> The most common decays listed by the USDA are pleospora rot, phoma rot, alternaria rot, and blossom end rot.

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-122-841]

#### Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod From Canada: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Changed Circumstances Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of initiation of changed circumstances review of the countervailing duty order.

**SUMMARY:** On October 1, 2003, Georgetown Steel Company (formerly GS Industries), Gerdau Ameristeel U.S. Inc. (formerly Co-Steel Raritan), Keystone Consolidated Industries, Inc., and North Star Steel Texas, Inc., filed a request for a countervailing duty changed circumstances review. Specifically, they request that the Department of Commerce revoke the countervailing duty order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Canada. In response, the Department of Commerce is initiating a changed circumstances review of the countervailing duty order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Canada. Interested parties are invited to comment on this notice of initiation.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 3, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** S. Anthony Grasso, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3853.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On October 22, 2002, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") published a countervailing duty order on carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Canada. *See Notice of Countervailing Duty Orders: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil and Canada*, 67 FR 64871 (October 22, 2002). On October 1, 2003, the Department received a request from Georgetown Steel Company (formerly GS Industries), Gerdau Ameristeel U.S. Inc. (formerly Co-Steel Raritan), Keystone Consolidated Industries, Inc., and North Star Steel Texas, Inc., the petitioners in the original investigation, that the Department initiate a changed circumstances review for purposes of revoking the countervailing duty ("CVD") order. Also the petitioners request that, upon revocation of the