

States Naval Academy; OMB Number 0703-0036.

Type of Request: Reinstatement.

Number of Respondents: 10,000.

Responses Per Respondent: 1.

Annual Responses: 10,000.

Average Burden Per Response: 4 hours.

Annual Burden Hours: 40,000.

Needs and Uses: This collection of information is necessary to determine the eligibility and evaluate overall competitive standing of candidates for appointment to the United States Naval Academy. An analysis of the information collected is made by the Admissions Board during the process in order to gauge the qualifications of individual candidates.

Affected Public: Individuals or Households, Not-For-Profit Institutions.

Frequency: On Occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to Obtain or Retain Benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: Ms. Jacqueline Zeiher.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Ms. Zeiher at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

DOD Clearance Officer: Ms. Jacqueline Davis.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Ms. Davis, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302.

Dated: November 7, 2003.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 03-28694 Filed 11-17-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Defense Intelligence Agency Advisory Board, Standing Committee on Emerging Chemical and Biological Technology Advisory Committee of Experts Closed Panel Meeting

AGENCY: Department of Defense, Defense Intelligence Agency.

ACTION: Notice

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of subsection (d) of section 10 of Public Law 92-463, as amended by section 5 of Public Law 94-409, notice is hereby given that a closed meeting of the Defense Intelligence Agency Advisory Board, Standing Committee on

Emerging Chemical and Biological Technology Advisory Committee of Experts was scheduled as follows:

DATES: October 28 and 29, 2003 (9 a.m.–5 p.m.).

ADDRESSES: Dallas, TX.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jack. A. McNulty, Director, Defense Intelligence Agency Advisory Board, Standing Committee on Emerging Chemical and Biological Technology Advisory Committee of Experts, Washington, DC 20340-1328; telephone (202) 231-3507.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The entire meeting was devoted to the discussion of classified information as defined in Section 552(c)(1), Title 5 of the U.S. Code, and therefore was closed to the public. The Board received briefings on and discussed several current critical intelligence issues and advised the Director, Defense Intelligence Agency, on related scientific and technical matters.

Dated: November 7, 2003.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 03-28697 Filed 11-17-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Defense Science Board

AGENCY: Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice of advisory committee meeting.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board Task Force on Patriot Systems Performance will meet in closed session on February 10-11, 2004, at SAIC, 4001 N. Fairfax Drive, Arlington, VA. The Task Force will assess the recent performance of the Patriot System in Operation Iraqi Freedom from deployment through use across the threat spectrum.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology & Logistics on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At the meeting, the Defense Science Board Task Force will: Assess logistical, doctrine, training, personnel management, operational and material performance; identify those lessons learned which are applicable to the development of the Medium Extended Air Defense System (MEADS); and

assess the current planned spiral development of the Patriot to ensure early incorporation of fixes discovered in the lessons learned process.

In accordance with section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. Law 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II), it has been determined that this Defense Science Board Task Force meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1) and that, accordingly, the meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: November 7, 2003.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 03-28695 Filed 11-17-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Defense Science Board

AGENCY: Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice of Advisory Committee meetings.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board Task Force on Enabling Joint Force Capabilities will tentatively meet in closed session on December 16, 2003, at Joint Forces Command, Norfolk, VA. This Task Force will review the current state of assigned responsibilities and accountability for joint capabilities to quickly bring combat forces together and focus them on joint objectives across a wide spectrum of possible contingencies and will help identify unfilled needs and areas where assigned responsibility and accountability calls for further clarification and/or organizational arrangements.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology & Logistics on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At the meeting, the Defense Science Board Task Force will identify specific characteristics and examples of organizations that could be capable of accepting responsibility and accountability for delivering the capability with needed responsiveness, and will recommend further steps to strengthen the joint structure ability to quickly integrate service-provided force capabilities into effective joint forces.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II), it has been determined that this defense Science Board Task