

nor an environmental impact statement is required.

This rule does not meet the definition of "rule" in 5 U.S.C. 804(3)(A) because it is a rule of "particular applicability." Therefore, it is not subject to the congressional review requirements in 5 U.S.C. 801-808.

#### List of Subject in 21 CFR Part 522

Animal drugs.

■ Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs and redelegated to the Center for Veterinary Medicine, 21 CFR part 522 is amended as follows:

#### PART 522—IMPLANTATION OR INJECTABLE DOSAGE FORM NEW ANIMAL DRUGS

■ 1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 522 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 360b.

#### § 522.540 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 522.540 *Dexamethasone injection* is amended in paragraph (a)(2)(i) by removing "and 059130" and by adding in its place ", 059130, and 061623".

Dated: November 3, 2003.

Stephen F. Sundlof,

Director, Center for Veterinary Medicine.

[FR Doc. 03-28872 Filed 11-18-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-S

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Bureau of Prisons

#### 28 CFR Part 544

[BOP-1096-F]

RIN 1120-AA92

#### Occupational Education Programs

AGENCY: Bureau of Prisons, Justice.

ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Bureau of Prisons (Bureau) amends its regulations on occupational education programs to allow inmates currently under an order of deportation, exclusion, or removal, to participate in Bureau occupational education programs if Bureau resources are available after participation by inmates who will be released within the United States. This rule also removes obsolete or redundant provisions. We intend this amendment to help ensure that we allocate available educational opportunities for occupational training to inmates who will be returning to the

community within, rather than outside, the United States upon release.

**DATES:** This rule is effective December 19, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Rules Unit, Office of General Counsel, Bureau of Prisons, 320 First Street, NW., Washington, DC 20534.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sarah Qureshi, Office of General Counsel, Bureau of Prisons, phone (202) 307-2105 e-mail [boprules@bop.gov](mailto:boprules@bop.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Bureau published this rule change as a proposed rule on July 17, 2000 (65 FR 44401). We received no comments on the proposed rule.

#### What Will This Rule Change Do?

This rule change revises our regulations on occupational education programs to allow inmates currently under an order of deportation, exclusion, or removal, to participate in Bureau occupational education programs if Bureau resources are available after participation by inmates who will be released within the United States. This rule change also removes obsolete or redundant provisions.

The proposed rule which we published on July 17, 2000, would have excluded inmates under orders of deportation, exclusion or removal from participation in Bureau occupational education programs. However, after internal deliberation, the Bureau has determined that there is a less restrictive alternative to excluding such inmates from participation: We will instead allow participation by such inmates if Bureau resources are available after participation by inmates who will be released within the United States.

In limiting participation by inmates under an order of deportation, removal, or exclusion from consideration, we intend to help ensure that available educational opportunities for occupational training ordinarily will be allocated to inmates who will be returning to the community within, rather than outside, the United States upon release.

Under these rules, regardless of availability of resources, we may consider an inmate or detainee currently under an order of deportation, exclusion, or removal for placement in an occupational education program if the Attorney General determines that the inmate or detainee cannot be removed from the United States because the designated country of removal will not accept his/her return.

Under internal agency procedures, the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (BICE, formerly the

Immigration and Naturalization Service) is responsible for informing us when an inmate/detainee's designated country of removal will not accept his/her return.

In these regulations, we revised the occupational education application procedures consistent with our revised procedures for postsecondary education programs (see our proposed rule published on July 17, 2000 at 65 FR 44399). We also reorganized the provisions to remove obsolete or redundant provisions and improve clarity.

#### Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) determined that certain rules are part of a category of actions which are not "significant regulatory actions" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866. Because this rule falls within that category, OMB did not review it.

#### Executive Order 13132

This regulation will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Under Executive Order 13132, this rule does not have sufficient federalism implications for which we would prepare a Federalism Assessment.

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Director of the Bureau of Prisons, under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 605(b)), reviewed this regulation. By approving it, the Director certifies that it will not have a significant economic impact upon a substantial number of small entities because: This rule is about the correctional management of offenders committed to the custody of the Attorney General or the Director of the Bureau of Prisons, and its economic impact is limited to the Bureau's appropriated funds.

#### Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

This rule will not cause State, local and tribal governments, or the private sector, to spend \$100,000,000 or more in any one year, and it will not significantly or uniquely affect small governments. We do not need to take action under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995.

#### Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996

This rule is not a major rule as defined by § 804 of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996. This rule will not result in an