

calculated customer-specific duty assessment rates for the merchandise in question by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to each customer and dividing this amount by the total quantity of those sales. To determine whether the duty assessment rates were *de minimis*, in accordance with the requirement set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we calculated customer-specific *ad valorem* ratios based on export prices. We will direct the Customs Service to assess the resulting assessment rates uniformly on all entries of that particular customer made during the period of review. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct the Customs Service to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties any entries for which the assessment rate is *de minimis*. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to the Customs Service within 15 days of publication of these final results of review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of this antidumping duty administrative review for all shipments of persulfates from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) for Ai Jian, the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) the cash deposit rate for all other PRC exporters will be 119.02 percent, the PRC-wide rate established in the less than fair value investigation; and (4) the cash deposit rate for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections section 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: February 3, 2003.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix—Issues in Decision Memo

Comment 1: Separate Rates

Comment 2: Use of Adverse Facts Available

Comment 3: Ocean Freight

Comment 4: Marine Insurance

Comment 5: Steam, Coal and Water Consumption

Comment 6: Use of Indian Data to Value Wood Pallets

Comment 7: Packing Labor

Comment 8: Whether the Financial

Statements of Calibre Chemicals Pvt.,

Ltd. (Calibre) and Gujarat Persalts (P)

Ltd. (Gujarat) Are Publicly Available

Information

Comment 9: Whether Gujarat's

Financial Statements Are an

Appropriate Source for Factory

Overhead (FOH), Selling, General, and

Administrative (SG&A) Expenses, and

Profit

Comment 10: Whether Calibre's

Financial Statements Are an

Appropriate Source for FOH, SG&A, and

Profit

Comment 11: Adjustments to SG&A

[FR Doc. 03-3285 Filed 2-7-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-834]

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From the Republic of Korea; Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results and partial rescission of antidumping duty

administrative review of stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from the Republic of Korea.

SUMMARY: On August 7, 2002, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results and partial rescission of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from the Republic of Korea (67 FR 51216). This review covers imports of subject merchandise from Pohang Iron & Steel Co., Ltd. ("POSCO"), Samwon Precision Metals Co., Ltd. ("Samwon") and Daiyang Metal Co., Ltd. ("DMC"). The period of review ("POR") is July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculations for POSCO and DMC. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results of review. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Review." In addition, we are rescinding the administrative review with respect to Samwon.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 10, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laurel LaCivita ("POSCO") and ("Samwon") or Lilit Astvatsatrian ("DMC"), Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4243 or (202) 482-6412, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 7, 2002, the Department published *Notice of Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review for Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From the Republic of Korea*, 67 FR 51216 (August 7, 2002) ("Preliminary Results"). As we stated in that notice, we preliminarily rescinded this review with respect to Samwon, pursuant to its claim of no shipments of the subject merchandise during the POR. We are now rescinding this administrative review with respect to Samwon, since there is no information on the record that indicates that Samwon made any shipments during the POR.

We invited parties to comment on these preliminary results. We received written comments on September 6, 2002