

SUMMARY: On March 31, 2003, the Commission published a notice in the **Federal Register** soliciting comments on a petition filed by Paccar, Inc., in connection with its sale of Kenworth and Peterbilt truck dealerships. The Commission now grants the petition and determines that the provisions of 16 CFR part 436 shall not apply to the advertising, offering, licensing, contracting, sale or other promotion of Paccar dealerships.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 2, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven Toporoff, Room 238, Federal Trade Commission, Washington, D.C. 20580; (202) 326-3135.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Before the Federal Trade Commission, Order Granting Exemption

In the Matter of a Petition for Exemption from the Trade Regulation Rule Entitled "Disclosure Requirements and Prohibitions Concerning Franchising and Business Opportunity Ventures" filed by Paccar, Inc.

On March 31, 2003, the Commission published a notice in the **Federal Register** soliciting comments on a petition filed by Paccar, Inc. ("Paccar" or "Petitioner"). Paccar manufactures heavy-duty and medium-duty trucks, parts, and accessories, which it distributes through a network of 131 dealers operating under the name "Kenworth" or "Peterbilt." The dealers also offer and perform warranty repair and bodywork; sell, rent, or lease used vehicles; and offer financing and insurance in connection with truck sales. Most of these dealers have been in business for 10 years or more; one-third have been Paccar dealers for more than 20 years. The petition sought an exemption, pursuant to Section 18(g) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, from coverage under the Commission's Trade Regulation Rule entitled "Disclosure Requirements and Prohibitions Concerning Franchising and Business Opportunity Ventures" ("Franchise Rule").

In accordance with Section 18(g), the Commission conducted an exemption proceeding under Section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553, and invited public comment during a 60-day period ending May 30, 2003. No comments were received. After reviewing the petition, the Commission has concluded that the Petitioner's request should be granted.

The statutory standard for exemption requires the Commission to determine whether application of the Trade Regulation Rule to the person or class of persons seeking exemption is

"necessary to prevent the unfair or deceptive act or practice to which the rule relates." If not, an exemption is warranted.

The pre-sale disclosures required by the Franchise Rule are designed to prevent deceptive acts or practices. The Rule requires franchisors to provide investors with the material information they need to make an informed investment decision in circumstances where they might otherwise lack the resources, knowledge, or ability to obtain the information, and thus protect themselves from the deception.

The abuses that the disclosure remedy of the Franchise Rule is designed to prevent are most likely to occur, as the Statement of Basis and Purpose of the Rule notes, in sales where three factors are present:

- (1) A potential investor has a relative lack of business experience and sophistication;
- (2) The investor has inadequate time to review and comprehend the unique and often complex terms of the franchise agreement before making a major financial commitment; and
- (3) A significant information imbalance exists in which the prospective franchisee is unable to obtain essential and relevant facts known to the franchisor about the investment.

The petition demonstrates that potential Paccar dealers are and will continue to be a select group of sophisticated and experienced businesspeople; that they make very significant investments; and that they have more than adequate time to consider the dealership offer and obtain information about it before investing. In particular, we note that the purchase of a Paccar dealership costs in excess of \$2 million. As a practical matter, investments of this size and scope typically involve knowledgeable investors, the use of independent business and legal advisors, and an extended period of negotiation that generates the exchange of information necessary to ensure that investment decisions are the product of an informed assessment of potential risks and benefits.

The Commission has reviewed the potential for unfair or deceptive acts or practices in connection with the offer of Paccar dealerships and found no evidence or likelihood of a significant pattern or practice of abuse. If any such evidence exists, it has not yet been brought to the Commission's attention in this proceeding.

Thus, both the record in this proceeding, and all prior experience to date with other Franchise Rule exemptions, support the conclusion that Petitioner's sale of Paccar dealerships

accomplishes what the Rule was intended to ensure. The conditions most likely to lead to abuses are not present in the sale of the dealerships, and the process generates sufficient information to ensure that applicants will be able to make an informed investment decision. For these reasons, the Commission finds that the application of the Franchise Rule to Petitioner's sale of Paccar dealerships is not necessary to prevent the unfair or deceptive acts or practices to which the Rule relates.

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that the provisions of 16 CFR part 436 shall not apply to the advertising, offering, licensing, contracting, sale or other promotion of dealerships by Paccar, Inc. This opinion is based on the information submitted and representations made in Paccar, Inc.'s petition. The grant of the petition applies only to the extent that actual company practices conform to the practices described in the petition.

Issued: November 10, 2003.

It is so ordered.

By the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-29922 Filed 12-1-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Disclosure Requirements and Prohibitions Concerning Franchising and Business Opportunity Ventures

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Grant of petition for exemption.

SUMMARY: On March 31, 2003, the commission published a notice in the **Federal Register** soliciting comments on a petition filed by Rolls-Royce Corp., in connection with its sale of engine maintenance centers. The Commission now grants the petition and determines that the provisions of 16 CFR Part 436 shall not apply to the advertising, offering, licensing, contracting, sale or other promotion of Rolls-Royce engine maintenance centers.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 2, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven Toporoff, Room 238, Federal Trade Commission, Washington, DC 20580; (202) 326-3135.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Before the Federal Trade Commission Order Granting Exemption

In the Matter of a Petition for Exemption from the Trade Regulation Rule Entitled "Disclosure Requirements and Prohibitions Concerning