

with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This new shipper review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 25, 2003.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-30263 Filed 12-4-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-847]

Persulfates from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On July 31, 2003, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the 2001-2002 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on persulfates from the People's Republic of China. This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States. The merchandise covered by this order are persulfates, including ammonium, potassium, and sodium persulfates. The period of review is July 1, 2001, through June 30, 2002.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made certain changes in the margin calculations. See the section entitled "Changes Since the Preliminary Results" listed below. The final weighted-average dumping margin is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 5, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mike Strollo or Greg Kalbaugh, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group I, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0629 and (202) 482-3693, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 31, 2003, the Department published the preliminary results of the 2001-2002 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on persulfates

from the People's Republic of China (PRC). See *Persulfates from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Partial Rescission of Administrative Review*, 68 FR 44921 (July 31, 2003) (*Preliminary Results*).

This review covers one exporter, Shanghai Ai Jian Import & Export Corporation (Ai Jian).

We invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results of review. The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of Review

The products covered by this review are persulfates, including ammonium, potassium, and sodium persulfates. The chemical formula for these persulfates are, respectively, $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$, $\text{K}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$, and $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$. Ammonium and potassium persulfates are currently classifiable under subheading 2833.40.60 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Sodium persulfate is classifiable under HTSUS subheading 2833.40.20. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this review is dispositive.

Separate Rates

Ai Jian has requested a separate, company-specific antidumping duty rate. In our preliminary results, we found that Ai Jian had met the criteria for the application of a separate antidumping duty rate. See *Preliminary Results*, 68 FR at 44921. We have not received any other information since the preliminary results which would warrant reconsideration of our separate rates determination with respect to this company. We therefore determine that Ai Jian should be assigned an individual dumping margin in this administrative review.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (Decision Memo) from Jeffrey May, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Group I, to James Jochum, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated November 28, 2003, which is adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memo, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this

public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit in Room B-099 of the main Commerce Building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

For purposes of the final results, we have made certain changes in the margin calculation for Ai Jian. For a discussion of these changes, see the "Margin Calculations" section of the Decision Memo.

- We removed a figure which was inadvertently included in the denominators of both the selling, general and administrative expense, and profit ratio calculations. See Comment 1 of the Decision Memo.
- We eliminated the purity adjustment applied to one of the inputs used by Ai Jian in the production of persulfates because Ai Jian failed to provide adequate support for that adjustment. See Comment 5 of the Decision Memo.

Final Results of the Review

We determine that the following percentage weighted-average margin exists for the period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin
Shanghai Ai Jian Import & Export Corporation	0.00 percent

Assessment Rates

The Department will determine, and Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For assessment purposes, we do not have the information to calculate entered value because Ai Jian is not the importer of record for the subject merchandise. Accordingly, we have calculated customer-specific duty assessment rates for the merchandise in question by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to each customer and dividing this amount by the total quantity of those sales. To determine whether the duty assessment rates were *de minimis*, in accordance with the requirement set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we calculated customer-specific *ad valorem* ratios based on export prices. We will direct CBP to assess the resulting assessment rates uniformly on all entries of that particular customer made during the period of review. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct CBP to liquidate without regard to antidumping