

*Type of Review:* Regular Review.  
*Affected Public:* Individuals or households, business, or other for profit institutions.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 8,000.

*Estimated Time Per Response:* 1.092.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 8,734.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost:* The estimated cost to the respondent is \$207,591 based on an average hourly pay for respondent to be \$23.77. This estimate was taken from the Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Occupational Employment Statistics Survey for 2001.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Voluntary.

*Legal Authority:* Title 13, United States Code, Section 182.

#### IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: February 6, 2003.

**Madeleine Clayton,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 03-3377 Filed 2-10-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-337-803]

#### Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Final Determination to Revoke the Order in Part, and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Fresh Atlantic Salmon From Chile

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On August 7, 2002, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its third administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fresh Atlantic salmon from Chile. The review covers sixteen producers/exporters of the subject merchandise. The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2000, through June 30, 2001. Based on our analysis of comments received, these final results differ from the preliminary results. The final results are listed below in the Final Results of Review section.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 11, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Vicki Schepker or Constance Handley, at (202) 482-1784 or (202) 482-0631, respectively; AD/CVD Enforcement, Office V, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On August 7, 2002, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the third administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fresh Atlantic salmon from Chile. *See Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Preliminary Determination to Revoke the Order in Part, and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Fresh Atlantic Salmon From Chile*, 67 FR 51182 (August 7, 2002) (*Preliminary Results*).

We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. On October 3, 2002, we received case briefs from respondents Cultivadora de Salmones Linao Ltda. and Salmones Tecmar S.A. (collectively, Linao and Tecmar)<sup>1</sup>, Pesquera Eicosal Ltda., (Eicosal), Los Fiordos, Ltda. (Los Fiordos), Marine Harvest (Chile) S.A., (Marine Harvest), Salmones Mainstream S.A. (Mainstream), Salmones Pacifico Sur S.A. (Pacifico Sur), Pesca Chile S.A. (Pesca Chile), and L.R. Enterprises.<sup>2</sup> On October 8, 2002, L.R. Enterprises filed an unsolicited, revised case brief with regard to Mainstream because its October 3 submission on Mainstream contained numerous inadvertent errors.

On October 10, 2002, we received rebuttal briefs from respondents Cultivos Marinos Chiloe, Ltda. (Cultivos

Marinos), Eicosal, Linao and Tecmar, Mainstream, Marine Harvest, Pacifico Sur and L.R. Enterprises.

On October 15, 2002, the Department sent L.R. Enterprises a letter regarding its case brief on Mainstream, requiring the redaction of new factual information contained in the October 3 and 8 versions. On October 16, 2002, L.R. Enterprises submitted redacted versions of the October 3 and 8 versions of its case brief on Mainstream. At the hearing on October 17, 2002, the Department informed L.R. Enterprises that the versions submitted on October 16 still contained information that should have been redacted. The Department instructed L.R. Enterprises to re-submit its case brief on Mainstream with all of the appropriate information redacted by the close of business on October 18, 2002. L.R. Enterprises re-submitted the brief.

On October 22, 2002, Mainstream submitted a letter to the Department stating that the re-submitted, revised version filed by L.R. Enterprises on October 18 continued to contain new factual information that should have been redacted. Mainstream requested that, given that L.R. Enterprise's fourth attempt still contained new factual information, the Department reject as untimely filed L.R. Enterprise's October 18, 2002, submission. Mainstream also provided the Department with its own version of what the correctly redacted case brief should look like. On October 24, 2002, L.R. Enterprises filed a response to Mainstream's October 22, 2002, letter, in which it argued that its October 18 version was correctly redacted and that there was no longer any new factual information contained in the brief. L.R. Enterprises also included in its October 24 filing a revised version of the case brief, removing only the reference to new information contained in Exhibit 1. On October 25, 2002, the Department asked L.R. Enterprises to resubmit its case brief on Mainstream in compliance with the Department's specific redaction instructions contained within that letter. On October 28, 2002, L.R. Enterprises complied with the Department's request and submitted the revised version of its case brief on Mainstream.

#### Partial Rescission of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

Prior to the publication of the preliminary results in this review, respondent Salmones Unimarc S.A. (Salmones Unimarc) certified to the Department that it had not shipped subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. As described in the *Preliminary Results*, U.S. import

<sup>1</sup> Linao and Tecmar were collapsed in the third administrative review. *See Preliminary Results* at 51186.

<sup>2</sup> L.R. Enterprises is a domestic producer of subject merchandise with operations in Lubec, Maine.