

statistics confirmed that the company had not shipped subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. Therefore, the Department preliminarily rescinded the review with respect to this company. No new information has come to the Department's attention in this regard since the publication of the preliminary results. Accordingly, we are rescinding the review with respect to Salmones Unimarc.

Scope of the Review

The product covered by this review is fresh, farmed Atlantic salmon, whether imported "dressed" or cut. Atlantic salmon is the species *Salmo salar*, in the genus *Salmo* of the family *salmoninae*. "Dressed" Atlantic salmon refers to salmon that has been bled, gutted, and cleaned. Dressed Atlantic salmon may be imported with the head on or off; with the tail on or off; and with the gills in or out. All cuts of fresh Atlantic salmon are included in the scope of the review. Examples of cuts include, but are not limited to: crosswise cuts (steaks), lengthwise cuts (fillets), lengthwise cuts attached by skin (butterfly cuts), combinations of crosswise and lengthwise cuts (combination packages), and Atlantic salmon that is minced, shredded, or ground. Cuts may be subjected to various degrees of trimming, and imported with the skin on or off and with the "pin bones" in or out.

Excluded from the scope are (1) fresh Atlantic salmon that is "not farmed" (i.e., wild Atlantic salmon); (2) live Atlantic salmon; and (3) Atlantic salmon that has been subject to further processing, such as frozen, canned, dried, and smoked Atlantic salmon, or processed into forms such as sausages, hot dogs, and burgers.

The merchandise subject to this review is classifiable under item numbers 0302.12.0003 and 0304.10.4093, 0304.90.1009, 0304.90.1089, and 0304.90.9091 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

The issues raised in the case briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the *Issues and Decision Memorandum* to Faryar Shirzad, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, from Bernard T. Carreau, Deputy Assistant Secretary (*Decision Memorandum*), which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues addressed in the *Decision*

Memorandum is appended to this notice. The *Decision Memorandum* is on file in Room B-099 of the main Commerce building, and can also be accessed directly on the Web at ia.ita.doc.gov. The paper copy and electronic version of the *Decision Memorandum* are identical in content.

Determination to Revoke Order in Part

In accordance with section 351.222(b)(2) of the Department's regulations, we have determined to revoke the antidumping duty order as to Cultivos Marinos, Mainstream, Marine Harvest and Pacifico Sur. These companies have sold subject merchandise in commercial quantities at prices not below their respective normal values for three consecutive annual reviews. Moreover, our analysis of market conditions and other factors does not indicate that the order is otherwise necessary to offset dumping with respect to these companies. See Revocation Recommendation in the *Decision Memorandum*.

We have also determined to not revoke the order as to Eicosal and Linao and Tecmar. The Stolt Sea Farm Ltda. acquisition of Eicosal and subsequent affiliation and collapsing issues between Eicosal and Ocean Horizons Chile S.A.³ lead the Department to conclude that continued imposition of the order is necessary to offset dumping by Eicosal. See Comment 8 of the *Decision Memorandum*. With regard to Linao and Tecmar, for the reasons outlined in a proprietary memo,⁴ the Department has determined that the order is otherwise necessary to offset dumping by Linao and Tecmar.

Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we determine that the following weighted-average margins exist for the period of July 1, 2000, through June 30, 2001:

Exporter/Manufacturer	Weighted-Average Margin Percentage
Andes	0.16 (<i>de minimis</i>)
Cultivos Marinos	0.10 (<i>de minimis</i>)
Eicosal	0.44 (<i>de minimis</i>)
Friosur	0.18 (<i>de minimis</i>)
Invertec	0.00
Linao and Tecmar	0.29 (<i>de minimis</i>)
Los Fiordos	0.04 (<i>de minimis</i>)
Mainstream	0.05 (<i>de minimis</i>)

³ On July 3, 2001, Eicosal was fully acquired by Stolt, the parent company of Ocean Horizons.

⁴ See *Final Determination to Revoke in Part the Antidumping Duty Order on Fresh Atlantic Salmon from Chile for Marine Harvest and Not to Revoke for Linao and Tecmar* memorandum to Bernard Carreau, Deputy Assistant Secretary, from Daniel O'Brien and Salim Bhabhrawala, Case Analysts, dated February 3, 2003.

Exporter/Manufacturer	Weighted-Average Margin Percentage
Marine Harvest	0.13 (<i>de minimis</i>)
Multiexport	0.00
Ocean Horizons	0.07 (<i>de minimis</i>)
Pacifico Sur	0.00
Patagonia	0.01 (<i>de minimis</i>)
Pesca Chile	0.11 (<i>de minimis</i>)
Robinson Crusoe	0.06 (<i>de minimis</i>)

Assessment

The Department will determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1) (2002), we have calculated an exporter/importer (or customer)-specific assessment rate for merchandise subject to this review. We will direct the Customs Service to assess such rates against the entered customs values for the subject merchandise on each of the importer's/customer's entries during the review period. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to the Customs Service within 15 days of publication of these final results of review.

Cash Deposits

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results of administrative review, as provided by section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act): (1) for all exporters/manufacturers covered by this review, the cash deposit rate will be the rate listed above, except where the margin is zero or *de minimis*, no cash deposit will be required; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a previous segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the most recent final results in which that producer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or in any previous segment of this proceeding, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the producer of the merchandise in these final results of review or in the most recent final results in which that producer participated; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the producer is a firm covered in this review or in any previous segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will be 4.57 percent, the "All Others" rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation. These deposit requirements shall remain in