

Silicon--0.70/1.00  
 Manganese--0.40 max  
 Phosphorous--0.04 max  
 Sulfur--0.03 max  
 Nickel--0.30 max  
 Chromium--12.50/14.00  
 Lead--0.10/0.30  
 Aluminum--0.20/0.35

The products subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7221.00.0005, 7221.00.0015, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0045, and 7221.00.0075 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

This review covers all imports from all manufacturers, producers, and

exporters of SSWR from Japan, except for Hitachi who received a de minimis rate in the investigation and as a result was excluded from the order.

#### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this case by parties to this sunset review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to James J. Jochum, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated December 1, 2003, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the order

revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce Building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/sunset>, under the heading "December 2003." The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

#### Final Results of Review

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty on SSWR from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted-average margins:

Manufacturer/producer/exporter	Weighted-Average Margin Percentage
Daido Steel Co., Ltd .....	34.21
Nippon Steel Corp .....	21.18
Sanyo Special Steel Co., Ltd .....	34.21
Sumitomo Electric Industries, Ltd .....	34.21
All Others .....	25.26

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 1, 2003.

**James J. Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-30628 Filed 12-9-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-583-828]

#### Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Taiwan; Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order on Stainless Steel Wire Rod from Taiwan.

**SUMMARY:** On August 1, 2003, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the notice of initiation of a sunset review on stainless steel wire rod ("SSWR") from Taiwan. On the basis of notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive comments filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and inadequate response (in this case, no response) from respondent interested parties, we have determined to conduct an expedited, 120-day review. As a result of this review, we find that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 10, 2003.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alessandra Cortez or Martha Douthit, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5925 or (202) 482-5050, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On August 1, 2003, the Department published the notice of initiation of a sunset review of the antidumping order on SSWR from Taiwan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act").<sup>1</sup> The Department received Notice of Intent to Participate on behalf of a domestic interested party, Carpenter Technology Corporation ("Carpenter Technology"), within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the *Sunset Regulations*. Carpenter Technology claimed interested party status under Section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as a U.S. producer of a domestic like product. We received a complete substantive response, in the sunset review, from Carpenter Technology, within the 30-day deadline specified in the *Sunset Regulations* under section 351.218(d)(3)(i). Carpenter Technology have been involved in this proceeding

<sup>1</sup> *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 68 FR 45219 (August 1, 2003)