

Send notices of intention to appear to: Charlene Giles, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room C-4318, Washington, DC 20210. Notices also may be faxed to Charlene Giles at 202-693-2769 (this is not a toll-free number), or submitted by e-mail at [dflc.onp@dol.gov](mailto:dflc.onp@dol.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Charlene Giles; telephone 202-693-2950. (This is not a toll-free number.)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The formal public briefings will be chaired by a senior official of the Employment and Training Administration. Persons appearing at the briefings will be allowed a hands on experience with the system and to pose questions to Department staff.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 8th day of December, 2003.

**Emily Stover DeRocco,**

*Assistant Secretary, for Employment and Training.*

[FR Doc. 03-30857 Filed 12-12-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

### Notice of Meeting

**TIME AND DATE:** 10 a.m., Thursday, December 18, 2003.

**PLACE:** Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314-3428.

**STATUS:** Open.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Requests from three (3) Federal Credit Union to Convert to Community Charters.
2. Request from a Federal Credit Union to Expand its Community Charter.
3. Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking: Interagency Proposal to Consider Alternative Forms of Privacy Notices.
4. Final Rule: Part 745 of NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Share Insurance.
5. Final Rule: Section 701.22 of NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Loan Participation.
6. National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund (NCUSIF) Operating Level for 2004.

**RECESS:** 11:15 a.m.

**TIME AND DATE:** 11:30 a.m., Thursday, December 18, 2003

**PLACE:** Board Room 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314-3428.

**STATUS:** Closed.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** 1. Field of Membership Appeal. Closed pursuant to exemption (4).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Becky Baker, Secretary of the Board, Telephone: (703) 518-6304

**Becky Baker,**

*Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 03-31037 Filed 12-11-03; 3:29 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7535-01-M**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-416]

### Entergy Operations, Inc., System Energy Resources, Inc., South Mississippi Electric Power Association, and Entergy Mississippi, Inc.; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-29 issued to Entergy Operations, Inc., System Energy Resources, Inc., South Mississippi Electric Power Association, and Entergy Mississippi, Inc. (Entergy) for operation of the Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1 (GGNS), located in Claiborne County, Mississippi.

By letter dated December 5, 2003, Entergy submitted a revised application for amendment to GGNS Technical Specification (TS) 3.3.6.1, "Primary Containment and Drywell Isolation Instrumentation," to add a provision to the applicability function that will eliminate the requirement that the Residual Heat Removal (RHR) System Isolation, Reactor Vessel Water Level-Low, Level 3, be operable under certain conditions during refueling outages. Specifically, the proposed change requested in the original application dated May 12, 2003, would remove the requirement for this isolation function, specified in Table 3.3.6.1-1, when the upper containment reactor cavity is at the High Water Level (HWL) condition specified in TS 3.5.2, "Emergency Core Cooling Systems (ECCS) Shutdown." The revised application adds a new surveillance requirement (SR) (SR 3.3.6.1.9) to verify the water level in the upper containment pool is  $\geq 22$  feet 8 inches above the reactor pressure vessel flange every four hours, and adds a footnote to Table 3.3.6.1-1, Item 5.b, for MODE 5 that states that the function is

not required when the upper containment reactor cavity and transfer canal gates are removed and SR 3.3.6.1.9 is met. The proposed SR and footnote are only applicable in MODE 5. The May 12, 2003, application was previously noticed in the **Federal Register** on June 10, 2003 (68 FR 34665).

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), section 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does the proposed change involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

*Response:* No.

The proposed change revises the applicability requirement for the Residual Heat Removal (RHR) System Isolation function of the Primary Containment and Drywell Isolation Instrumentation during MODE 5 and adds a surveillance requirement that is invoked when specific conditions exist. The proposed surveillance requirement only enhances the ability of operating personnel to detect inventory loss associated with a draindown event. The change removes the requirement that the instrumentation be operable during certain conditions (high water level) during refueling outages. The isolation function is intended to mitigate reactor vessel draindown events by isolating the residual heat removal flow path at low reactor water level. Although draindown events during refueling operations are not specifically evaluated in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR), these events were evaluated in support of licensing actions for the Alternate Decay Heat Removal System. An additional evaluation supporting this change established that the RHR system automatic isolation was not needed to mitigate a draindown event given the possible drain paths and the time available for operators to terminate the draindown event. The probability that a draindown event will be initiated is unrelated to operability requirement for this