

information about best practices and encouraging collegial learning among economic development practitioners. EDA has created the Award for Excellence in Economic Development to recognize outstanding economic development activities of national importance. In order to make Awards for Excellence in Economic Development, EDA must collect two kinds of information: (a) information identifying the nominee and contacts within the organization being nominated and (b) information explaining why the nominee should be given the award. The information will be used to determine those applicants best meeting the preannounced selection criteria. Use of a nomination form standardizes and limits the information collected as part of the nomination process. This makes the competition fair and eases any burden on applicants and reviewers alike. Participation in the competition is voluntary. The award is strictly honorary.

II. Method of Collection

As part of the development of the Award for Excellence in Economic Development, EDA has designed a short nomination form. Nominees will submit the form to EDA, where they will be screened for completeness and forwarded to the Selection Panel for review. The information will be used by the Selection Panel to determine those applicants best meeting the preannounced selection criteria. The Selection Panel will include: three representatives of the economic development practitioner community; one member from academe; three representatives of the Economic Development Administration; and up to two at-large members.

III. Data

OMB Number(s): 0610-0097.

Form Number: Not applicable.

Burden: 150 hours.

Type of Review: Reinstatement of previously-approved collection.

Affected Public: State, local, or tribal government and not-for-profit organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 50.

Estimated Time Per Response: 3 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 150.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$11,180.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information

is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the equality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection, they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: December 12, 2003.

Madeleine Clayton,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 03-31143 Filed 12-16-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-34-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-803]

Heavy Forged Hand Tools from the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administration Review in Accordance with Court Decision

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administration Review

SUMMARY: On July 28, 2003, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) affirmed the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) redetermination on remand of the final results of the seventh administrative review of the antidumping duty orders on heavy forged hand tools from the People's Republic of China. *See Fujian Machinery and Equipment Import & Export Corporation, et al. v. United States*, Slip Op. 03-92 (CIT July 28, 2003) (*Fujian II*). Because all litigation has concluded and the injunction has been lifted, we are amending our final results of review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 17, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Martin, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone:(202) 482-3936.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 11, 1999, the Department published a notice of the final results of the seventh administrative review of the antidumping duty orders on heavy forged hand tools from the People's Republic of China. *See Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles, From the People's Republic of China; Final Results and Partial Recission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews*, 64 FR 43659 (August 11, 1999) (*Final Results*). Subsequent to the Department's *Final Results*, the respondent filed a lawsuit with the CIT challenging these results. Thereafter, the CIT issued an Order and Opinion dated September 28, 2001, in *Fujian Machinery and Equipment Import & Export Corporation, et al. v. United States*, 178 F. Supp. 2d 1305 (Ct. Int'l Trade 2001) (*Fujian I*), remanding several issues to the Department. Among the issues remanded in *Fujian I*, the CIT ordered the Department to issue separate rates for Fujian Machinery Import & Export Corporation (FMEC) and Shandong Machinery Import & Export Corporation (SMC). *See Fujian I*, 178 F. Supp. 2d at 1336. Pursuant to *Fujian I*, the Department filed its remand results on February 20, 2002, issuing separate rates for FMEC and SMC. The CIT reviewed and affirmed the Department's final results of redetermination in *Fujian Machinery and Equipment Import & Export Corporation, et al. v. United States*, Slip Op. 03-92 (CIT July 28, 2003) (*Fujian II*). On August 6, 2003, we published a notice of court decision. *See Notice of Decision of the Court of International Trade: Heavy Forged Hand Tools From the People's Republic of China*, 68 FR 46582 (August 6, 2003).

The time period for appealing the CIT's final decision has expired and no party has appealed this decision. Therefore, in accordance with *Fujian II*, and because all litigation has concluded and the injunction has been lifted, we are amending our final results in this matter and we will instruct the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate entries, as appropriate, in accordance with our remand results.

Amendment to Final Results

Pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), there is now a final and conclusive court decision with respect to litigation