

- i. Barium.
- ii. Molybdenum.
- iii. Selenium.

■ e. In paragraph (c)(1) by revising the entry for “Antimony” in the Pretreatment Standards (PSNS) table under the heading “Metal Parameters” to read as follows:

§ 437.47 Pretreatment Standards for New Sources (PSNS).

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(1) * * *

PRETREATMENT STANDARDS (PSNS)

Regulated parameter	Maximum daily ¹	Maximum monthly avg. ¹
* * * * *		
Metal Parameters		
Antimony	0.249	0.206
* * * * *		

¹ mg/L (ppm).

* * * * *

■ f. In paragraph (d)(1) by removing the following entries in the Pretreatment Standards (PSNS) table under the heading “Metal Parameters”:

- i. Molybdenum.
- ii. Selenium.

■ g. In paragraph (d)(1) by removing the entry for “2,3-Dichloroaniline” in the Pretreatment Standards (PSNS) table under the heading “Organic Parameters.”

■ h. In paragraph (e) by removing the following entries in the Pretreatment Standards (PSNS) table under the heading “Metal Parameters”:

- i. Antimony.
- ii. Barium.
- iii. Molybdenum.

■ i. In paragraph (e) by removing the entry for “2,3-Dichloroaniline” in the Pretreatment Standards (PSNS) table under the heading “Organic Parameters.”

[FR Doc. 03–31346 Filed 12–19–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

41 CFR Parts 300–3, 301–50, 301–52, 301–70, and 301–73

[FTR Amendment 2003–07; FTR Case 2003–303]

RIN 3090–AH83

Federal Travel Regulation; eTravel Service (eTS)

AGENCY: Office of Governmentwide Policy, General Services Administration (GSA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This final rule is issued to amend the Federal Travel Regulation’s (FTR) required use of a travel management service to the required use of the Governmentwide eTravel Service. This final rule revises the term and definition of “Travel Management System (TMS)” to “Travel Management Service.” This final rule amends FTR requirements governing employees’ use of their agencies’ Travel Management Services (TMS) and the eTravel Service (eTS). This final rule also requires agencies to submit migration plans and schedules to the eTravel Program Management Office (PMO) no later than March 31, 2004, implement the eTS no later than December 31, 2004, and complete migration to eTS for full agency-wide use by September 30, 2006 (unless an exception, as defined within this regulation has been granted). This final rule specifies that award of a task order to a vendor under the eTS Master Contract constitutes eTS implementation. These changes will improve management efficiency and increase cost effectiveness.

DATES: *Effective Date:* January 21, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Regulatory Secretariat, Room 4035, GS Building, Washington, DC, 20405, (202) 208–7312, for information pertaining to status or publication schedules. For clarification of content, contact Umeki Thorne, Office of Governmentwide Policy, Travel Management Policy, at (703) 872–8590. Please cite FTR case 2003–303, FTR Amendment 2003–07.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

The President’s Management Agenda (PMA) for fiscal year 2002 identified five Governmentwide goals to improve Federal management and deliver results. This resulted in the establishment of a Governmentwide task force known as QuickSilver to address performance gaps in existing Government systems as they relate to E-Government, and to

improve internal efficiency and effectiveness throughout the Federal Government. Accordingly, in support of the PMA, the Government is procuring the eTravel Service (eTS) from Government contract suppliers to replace agencies’ current Travel Management Systems (TMS) (see section 301–1.1 of the FTR for the definition of “agency”). This final rule amends the FTR by requiring, with specified exceptions, the use of the common Governmentwide, end-to-end eTS. This regulation does not apply to the Department of Defense or the Government of the District of Columbia.

This final rule continues to be written in the “plain language” style of regulation writing as a continuation of the General Services Administration’s (GSA) effort to make the FTR easier to understand and use. Questions are in the first person, and answers are in the second person. GSA uses a “we” and “you” question when referring to an agency, and an “I” and “you” question when referring to the employee. However, the rules stated in either section apply to both the employee and agency.

A proposed rule with request for comments was published in the **Federal Register** on June 30, 2003 (68 FR 38661). During the 30-day comment period, GSA received feedback from ten Federal agencies and one individual. GSA has carefully reviewed each comment, and based on those comments, this final rule modifies the proposed rule. An explanation of changes and/or further responses to questions received are discussed as follows.

Section 300–3.1 Glossary of Terms

One agency asked for a definition of “in-house system” and suggested that GSA rearrange the wording within the definition of “travel management service” to make it clear that an “in-house system” is not a part of a commercial method of arranging travel. GSA addresses this comment by stating that an “in-house (travel) system” is where some or all of an agency’s travel processes (e.g., travel reservation and ticketing services) are provided by the agency’s employees. GSA has also rearranged the wording within the definition of “travel management service” to distinguish between an agency’s “in-house system” and an agency’s commercial method of arranging travel.

Section 301–50.3 Must I Use the eTravel Service To Arrange My Travel?

Scope of eTravel Service. This section defines the scope of the eTS, as well as the required date of agencies’ full