

Note: Numbers do not sum due to rounding.

Due to differences in option requirements for the various

subcategories, as well as differences in facility counts between the proposed rule and this notice, it is difficult to compare costs in Table VI.A.1 directly to costs for the proposal (67 FR 57908).

As a consequence, Table VI.A.2 facilitates comparisons between costs at proposal and costs summarized in this notice.

TABLE VI.A.2.—COMPARISON OF COSTS—PROPOSAL AND NOTICE OF DATA AVAILABILITY (NODA)

Production system	Total pre-tax annual costs (2001\$)	
	Proposal	NODA
Flow-through	\$1,032,942	\$2,076,456
Recirculating	46,354	5,409
Net Pens	35,322	0

Note: Proposal costs, taken from Table IX.G.1 (67 FR 57908) of the preamble from the proposed rule, were inflated to 2001 dollars. For closest comparison to proposal results, NODA results in this table do not include costs for facilities that produce 20,000 to 100,000 lbs/year. We assume Option 1 for flow-through facilities in the size category 100,000 to 475,000 lbs/yr, and we assume Option 3 for all other flow-through and recirculating facilities.

B. Economic Analysis

Sections VI.B.1 and VI.B.2 provide details about the impact analysis for commercial and non-commercial facilities.

1. Economic Results for Commercial Facilities

EPA projects economic impacts based on two procedures for estimating earnings: (1) cash flow analysis and (2)

net income analysis. Table VI.B.1 summarizes the economic impacts for commercial facilities based on cash flow analysis, and Table VI.B.2 summarizes results based on net income analysis. All impacts fall on flow-through systems; no impacts fall on recirculating or net pen systems. No impacts fall on facilities with flow-through systems that produce more than 475,000 lbs per year.

TABLE VI.B.1.—IMPACTS FOR COMMERCIAL FLOW-THROUGH FACILITIES (CASH FLOW BASIS) ¹

Analysis level ²	Impact	Size (1,000 lbs/yr)	Number of entities in analysis ³	Option				
				A	B	1	2	3
Facility	Closure	100–475	54	5	5	5	5	11
	Direct Employment Loss (lost jobs).	100–475	54	5	5	5	5	24
	Increase in County Unem- ployment Rate (%).	100–475	54	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<1
	National Employment Loss	100–475	54	20	20	20	20	90
	National Loss in Output (\$ millions).	100–475	54	\$1.6	\$1.6	\$1.6	\$1.6	\$7.4
	Sales test: >1 percent	20–100	50	15	15	5	15	25
		100–475	54	13	23	21	23	29
	Sales test: >3 percent	20–100	50	10	10	0	10	10
		100–475	54	5	11	11	11	16
	Closure		32	1	1	1	1	2
Company	Farm Financial Health ⁴		43	1A	1A	1A	1A	1A
								1B
	Credit Test		32	1	1	1	1	2

¹ All impacts fall on flow-through systems; recirculating or net pen systems display no impacts. In addition, facilities with flow-through systems that produce more than 475,000 lbs per year display no impacts.

² Rows are shown only when there are impacts. The 20,000 to 100,000 size category shows impacts only under the 1 percent and 3 percent sales test. No impacts at enterprise level or for 475,000 lb/yr or more size category (see DCN 20446 for details); no closure analysis at the enterprise level was conducted for facilities that are projected to close.

³ Number of entities projected to incur compliance costs and are not baseline closures, assuming cash flow analysis, and for which enough data were available. For closure analysis, this is the number of weighted facilities or the unweighted number of companies. The statistical procedure used to draw the sample and develop the facility survey weights do not allow us to make inferences about company characteristics at the national level. Of the facilities projected to incur costs in this NODA, more than 90 percent are single facility companies.

⁴ 1A: one company shifts from marginal solvency to vulnerable.

1B: one company shifts from favorable to vulnerable.

TABLE VI.B.2.—IMPACTS FOR COMMERCIAL FACILITIES (NET INCOME BASIS) ¹

Analysis level ²	Impact	Size (1,000 lbs/yr)	Number of entities in analysis ³	Option				
				A	B	1	2	3
Facility	Closure	20–100	45	5	5	0	5	5 ⁶
		100–475	50	5	5	5	5	11
	Direct Employment Loss (lost jobs).	20–100	45	14	14	0	14	14
		100–475	50	5	5	5	5	24