

Russia based on our presumption that those respondents who failed to demonstrate entitlement to a separate rate constitute a single enterprise under common control by the Russian government. Because we have received no comments regarding our decision to apply the Russia-wide rate to all entries of the merchandise under investigation except for entries from Nevinka, we have continued to apply this rate in the final determination. We also determined that, pursuant to section 776(a) of the Act, the Department is required to base the margin for the Russia-wide entity on the facts available, because information necessary to calculate this margin is not available on the record. Further, we determined, pursuant to section 776(b) of the Act, that because the Russia-wide entity had failed to act to the best of its ability by not responding to the Department's requests for information, it was appropriate to use an adverse inference in selecting the facts available. The Russia-wide rate applies to all entries of the merchandise under investigation except for entries from Nevinka.

When analyzing the petition for purposes of the initiation, the Department reviewed all of the data upon which the petitioner relied in calculating the estimated dumping margin and determined that the margin in the petition was appropriately calculated and supported by adequate evidence, in accordance with the statutory requirements for initiation. In order to corroborate the petition margin for purposes of using it as adverse facts available, we examined the price and cost information provided in the petition in the context of our preliminary determination. For further details, see Memorandum from Paige Rivas to Holly A. Kuga, "Corroboration of Secondary Information," dated September 26, 2002. We received no comments on this decision and continue to find in this final determination that the rate contained in the petition, as recalculated, has probative value.

Since the preliminary determination, we have revised several surrogate values. In order to take into account these values, we have recalculated the petition margin using, where possible, the revised surrogate values. As a result of this recalculation, the Russia-wide rate is, for the final determination, 239.14 percent. See Memorandum from Paige Rivas to the File, "Corroboration of Secondary Information," dated February 21, 2003.

Surrogate Country

For purposes of the final determination, we continue to find that

Egypt remains the appropriate surrogate country for Russia. For further discussion and analysis regarding the surrogate country selection for Russia, see the *Preliminary Determination*.

Verification

As provided in section 782(i) of the Act, we verified the information submitted by the respondent for use in our final determination. We used standard verification procedures including examination of relevant accounting and production records, and original source documents provided by the respondents. For changes from the *Preliminary Determination* as a result of verification, see the *Changes Since the Preliminary Determination* section below.

Changes Since the Preliminary Determination

Based on our findings at verification and on our analysis of the comments received, we have made adjustments to the calculation methodologies used in the *Preliminary Determination*. These adjustments are listed below and discussed in detail in the (1) *Decision Memorandum*, (2) Memorandum from the Team to the File, "Final Factors of Production Valuation Memorandum," dated February 21, 2003, (Factors Memorandum) and (3) Memorandum from the Team to the File, "Calculation Memorandum for the Final Determination," dated February 21, 2003 (Calculation Memorandum).

1. We accepted minor corrections to the FOP database presented at verification. For our final calculations, we used the updated consumption rates submitted by Nevinka at verification. See Calculation Memorandum.

2. We calculated a surrogate value for water using the water consumption rate for residential use for Egypt found on the Department's Trade Information Center web page (<http://www.trade.gov/tid/tic>), rather than including water in overhead as we did in the preliminary determination. See Comment 5 of the *Decision Memorandum*.

3. We calculated a surrogate value for steam energy by converting the energy content for steam, which is measured in gigacalories, to kilowatt hours using the electricity surrogate value calculated in the *Preliminary Determination*, rather than including it in overhead as was done in the *Preliminary Determination*. See Comment 5 of the *Decision Memorandum*.

4. In determining U.S. price, we calculated the market economy freight expenses for inland freight for shipments of UANS to the port of export. See Calculation Memorandum.

5. We revised the surrogate value for labor and are using the 2000 wage rate for Russia, as corrected on the Department's website in February 2003. See Factors Memorandum.

6. We revised our calculation of freight costs for the FOP to include the revised distances identified during verification. See Calculation Memorandum.

7. We revised our calculation of the net U.S. price to not include foreign inland freight for observations 7, 8, and 9. See Comment 4 of the *Decision Memorandum*.

8. We revised our calculation of the net U.S. price to include billing adjustments, where appropriate. See Comment 2 of the *Decision Memorandum*.

9. We revised our calculation of surrogate financial ratios. See Comment 6 of the *Decision Memorandum*.

Suspension of Liquidation

On February 19, 2003, the Department signed a suspension agreement with Nevinka. Pursuant to that suspension agreement, we have instructed Customs to terminate the suspension of liquidation of all entries of UANS from Russia. Any cash deposits for entries of UANS from Russia shall be refunded and any bonds shall be released. On February 20, 2003, we received a request from the petitioner that we continue the investigation. Pursuant to this request, we have continued and completed the investigation in accordance with section 734(g) of the Act. We have found the following weighted-average dumping margins:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
JSC Nevinnomysskij Azot	106.98
Russia-Wide Rate	239.14

The Russia-wide rate applies to all entries of the subject merchandise except for entries from Nevinka.

International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we have notified the International Trade Commission (ITC) of our determination. Because our final determination is affirmative, the ITC will, within 45 days, determine whether these imports are materially injuring, or threatening material injury to, the U.S. industry. If the ITC determines that material injury, or threat of material injury does not exist, the Agreement will have no force or effect, and the investigation shall be terminated. See