IBM

This proposal was rated satisfactory. The bidder presented a highly flexible but over-sophisticated system, which is reflected in the high cost. This is interpreted by the committee as a lack of detailed understanding of the operational requirements.

Hughes

1. This proposal generally reflects a poor understanding of the scope of the task. The technical depth of their proposal was extremely limited and would not permit a thorough evaluation or recognition of their technical capability. The bidders overall systems concepts were poorly coordinated and subject to strong criticism in the operations and communications area. The proposal is representative of a systems design organization lacking experience in communications and operational integration. The committee believes that the bidder would require excessive guidance by MSC to accomplish the required tasks.

Bendix

1. The bidder is considered undesirable on an overall basis. His proposal is weak, vague and generally lacking in sufficient detail to make an adequate technical evaluation. In many areas, the concepts of implementation, manning, and design do not comply with the requirements of RFP. Even where problem areas are properly identified, no detailed solutions are presented. In many areas, the bidder's stipulated intent was to design and build new equipment as opposed to procurement of commercially available items. This intent is not realistic in view of the stringent schedule requirements, nor the bidder's past experience. For an acceptable system, this bidder would require prohibitive guidance and redirection from MSC.

The proposal of Bendix contained but one favorable feature which was in the cost field. In relative ranking with other companies it is considered sixth.
### RCA

This proposal was rated satisfactory. This response provided a generally good level of detail relative to his choice of specific display systems components; however, he did not develop an integrated system. The concepts he developed were good; however, he failed to adequately elaborate his system design and often contradicted his concept.

The bidder's approach indicates an over-reliance, primarily in the design and lease of equipment, on the RTCC Contractor, and the telephone company.

The bidder will require considerable redirection which will result in a significant increase in overall cost.

RCA, in general, had an effective proposal with the real weaknesses in such contract administration and control as well as proposed fees and rates.

The strong features of experience, Key personnel and overall organization easily outweighed the secondary weaknesses of this proposal.

### The Field Committee findings were satisfactory.

The overall evaluation by the customers was excellent. It was felt that RCA costs were high, but the product outstanding.

### LOCKHEED

This proposal is reasonably developed in the establishment of requirements but is generally poor in the presentation of a technical approach. The presented systems concept is aimed at simplicity and is centered about a low speed and manual operation approach. The concept is particularly poor in the area of communications to the extent of being considered unacceptable. While this poor concept reflects in other areas these areas were generally well developed. The bidder will require major redirection to realize a suitable approach in the communications area and it is believed that considerable MSC effort would be required to achieve a satisfactory system design. The proposed concept enables the bidder to present a low cost proposal in this area. The substitution of a new concept (Automatic Communications Processors) would substantially add to the cost of the bidders proposal.

Lockheed was judged Very Good with no real weaknesses in the program management area. It is one of the two top companies in the final Committee ratings.

### The Field Committee findings on performance were satisfactory.

Customers interviewed indicated a tendency towards high cost, notably during implementation when changes are involved.
This proposal is very good and the best submitted. The proposal is developed in considerable detail and reflects a well considered design approach. The systems concept is considered good but with minor reservations in the display selection sophistication and in the philosophy for the application of the communications processors. The design approach shows a good balance between the logical development of the requirements and a good technical solution. It is generally considered that Philco's good grasp of the overall problem would result in their requiring the minimum of redirection and technical guidance by MSC.

The Philco proposal was generally strong but was downgraded primarily because of its cost estimate which was high in total and weak in specific factors.

The Field Committee findings substantiated the result of the evaluation. Philco was recommended highly as being defendable under a tight schedule.

ITT

This proposal was generally good in that most of the problem areas were recognized and technical solutions were proposed. The technical presentation was not fully developed in all areas.

The ITT systems concept is based on the highest degree of data handling automation of any bidder. This systems plan is severely questioned by the technical committee. As the ITT data automation approach is so basic in the overall IMCC implementation, it is discussed in detail elsewhere in this report. However, it is believed that ITT could be redirected to provide an acceptable system.

In the Program Management area ITT did not receive a single unfavorable comment and was rated the leader in the field of Program Management.