

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Instrumentation Laboratory
Cambridge, Massachusetts

LUMINARY Memo # 65

TO: Distribution
FROM: George W. Cherry
DATE: 7 February 1969
SUBJECT: A Variable Time Constant Velocity-Nulling Guidance Law

Introduction

The present velocity-nulling guidance law has a fixed time constant. This guidance law is used for the last ten seconds of P64 and all of P65. This fixed gain guidance law is simply

$$\underline{a}_{TD} = (\underline{v}_D - \underline{v}_0) / \tau_v - \underline{g}_0 \quad (1)$$

where

- \underline{a}_{TD} the present desired thrust acceleration.
- $\underline{g}_0, \underline{v}_0$ = the last measured gravitational acceleration vector and velocity vector.
- \underline{v}_D = the desired touchdown velocity vector.
- τ_v = the fixed time-constant for nulling the velocity error; currently equal to six seconds.

A variable-gain (τ_v not fixed) velocity - nulling guidance law has certain advantages. For example, it is desirable to null the velocity error early and quickly so that there is not much attitude maneuvering near touch-down. Also, with lags in the system (computation lag and FINDCDUW lag chiefly) stabilization

suggests a larger τ_v than one might otherwise choose.

The following guidance law has time-varying gains and guidance command projection. It is analytically derived later.

$$\begin{aligned}T_{go} &= T - t_o \\T_{go}^* &= T_{go} - \tau \\R &= T_{go}^*/T_{go} \\a_{TD} &= nR^{n-1}(\underline{v}_D - \underline{v}_o)/T_{go} - \underline{g}_o\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

Equation (2) is more complicated than (1) but only marginally so and it is much simpler than Equation 15 in LUMINARY Memo #63, which is used in the guidance phase immediately preceding the velocity-nulling phase.

2. The quantity n is any positive non-zero integer. The best value for n is yet to be determined. From inspection it can be seen that the larger n , the larger, relatively speaking, is the gain at large values of T_{go} and the earlier the velocity error is nulled. We can analytically relate the stationariness of the commanded thrust acceleration at $t = T$, the touchdown time, to the order of n .

Equation (2) has not been tested in simulations yet but its relative simplicity, time-varying gains, and guidance command projection recommend its investigation. Both MIT/IL and MSC/G&C have noted oscillations with the present law, Eq. (1).