IT/DL PROGRAM CHANGE ROUTING SLIP

[ ] COLOSSUS 3 [ ] LUMINARY 1E
[ ] COLOSSUS [ ] LUMINARY
[ ] SKYLARK

[ ] MIT Approved PCN [ ] NASA Approved PCR
[ ] MIT Approved ADR [ ] NASA Approved PCN
[ ] NASA Approved Software Anomaly
[ ] MIT Approved Software Anomaly

A. Coding

[ ] Begin coding immediately

ACTION: ____________________________

Program Supervisor's Approval: ____________________________

[ ] Do not code until new GSOP material has been approved by the MIT Mission Design Review Board (MDRB) and distributed.

B. GSOP Preparation

[ ] Prepare GSOP revisions for MDRB consideration

ACTION: ____________________________

J. KRAMER/K. E. OLSSON

[ ] Technical Committee Meeting not required.
[ ] Technical Committee Meeting(s) held on

Attendees:

C. KSC Testing and Checkout

[ ] Review for possible impact on KSC testing and checkout

ACTION: ____________________________

D. Other Programs Affected

[ ] Review for corresponding changes in

ACTION: ____________________________

Special Instructions

Project Manager ____________________________
Date 1/29/71
### 1.0 COMPLETED BY ORIGINATOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.1 ORIGINATOR</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>1.2 ORGANIZATION</th>
<th>APPROVAL</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. A. Gorman</td>
<td>3/3/70</td>
<td>FSB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.3 EFFECTIVITY

**COLOSSUS (AAP)**

### 1.4 TITLE OF CHANGE

Deletion of verb 59

### 1.5 REASONS FOR CHANGE

Not needed for AAP

### 1.6 DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE

Delete verb 59 (optics calibration mark)

### 2.0 SOFTWARE CONTROL BOARD OR FLIGHT SOFTWARE BRANCH DECISION FOR VISIBILITY IMPACT ESTIMATE BY MIT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.1</th>
<th>APPROVED</th>
<th>DISAPPROVED</th>
<th>2.2 REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.3 SOFTWARE CONTROL BOARD OR FLIGHT SOFTWARE BRANCH SIGN OFF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.0 MIT VISIBILITY IMPACT EVALUATION:

#### 3.1 SCHEDULE IMPACT

#### 3.2 IMPACT OF PROVIDING DETAILED EVALUATION

#### 3.3 STORAGE IMPACT

#### 3.4 REMARKS

#### 3.5 MIT COORDINATOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.0 SOFTWARE CONTROL BOARD ACTION

#### 4.1 IMPLEMENT AND PROVIDE DETAILED CHANGE EVAL

#### 4.2 PROVIDE DETAILED CHANGE EVALUATION

#### 4.3 SOFTWARE CONTROL BOARD SIGN OFF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/4/70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.0 MIT DETAILED PROGRAM CHANGE EVALUATION

#### 5.1 MIT COORDINATOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.0 SOFTWARE CONTROL BOARD DECISION ON MIT DETAILED PROGRAM CHANGE EVALUATION

#### 6.1 START OR CONTINUE IMPLEMENTATION

#### 6.2 DISAPPROVED OR STOP IMPLEMENTATION

#### 6.3 SOFTWARE CONTROL BOARD SIGN OFF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>