DECLASSIFIED

UNITED STATES FLEET DESTROYERS, BATTLE FORCE

File Pl6-1 U.S.S. DETROIT, FLAGSHIP At Sea.

Serial 4209

7 Sep 1940

CONFIDENTIAL

From:

Commander Destroyers, Battle Force.

To :

Commander-in-Chief, United States Fleet.

Via :

Commander Battle Force.

Subject:

Complements of Destroyers.

Reference:

- (a) Cincus Conf. ltr. P16-1/S80 Serial 01048 dated 16 June. 1940.
- (b) Standard Organization Bill, 1500-Ton Destroyers.
- (c) Standard Organisation Bill, 1850-Ton Destroyers.
- l. Pursuant to the instructions set forth in reference (a) an exhaustive analysis has been made of the complements of the various classes of destroyers included in Destroyers, Battle Force, based upon the consideration that the modern air threat will require an advanced condition of readiness for pretracted periods.
- 2. It is recognised that an advanced condition of readiness of the entire armament over protracted periods, with watches adequate to insure the maintenance of full physical and mental capabilities of personnel, would require complements greatly in excess of the number which could be accommodated by any possible arrangement of berthing and measing facilities. Therefore the increase in complements recommended herein is based on the maximum total number of enlisted men which can be accommedated without sacrifice of reasonable standards of comfort and sanitation.
- 3. Based upon berthing limitations, the following increases in complement are recommended:-

Class	Present Complement	Increase	Complement
10343-355	192	28	220
364-379	191	34	225
385	191	44	235
340-382,400,401	196	34	230
366-393	178	57	235

Subject:

Complements of Destroyers.

Class Present	Complement	Increase	Recommended Complement
DD397-399	1.87	48	235
402-408	187	43	230
409-416	200	40	240
356-363	243	17	260
381 -381-391-796	235	15	2 50
1200-Ton Destreyers	132	8	140

These numbers do not include the use of bunks in release, but, only bunk, hammock and cot facilities now existing.

Recent intelligence reports indicate that British antiaircraft destroyers keep all gums manmed at all times when air attack may be expected and our 1500-ten destroyers may be expected to operate under similar requirements. Adminimum of tem men is required to fire each 5"/38 caliber gun provided ready service ammunition only is used. Present complement does not provide sufficient men in amunition parties to maintain effective rates of fire and probably the rates new established for the $5^{\pi}/38$ caliber gums (both single and double purpose) represent target practice conditions. These rates of fire could not be maintained for lengthy periods unless sufficient men were available in magazines and handling rooms to service the amounttion heists. There is little doubt that lookout requirements (both surface and air) will require at least ten men per watch and plans are already proposed for lookout instruments which will restrict the operator to full time duty. That destroyers require additional machine guns for antiaircraft offense is an established fact. Each machine gun requires two operators providing ammunition is stowed in ready service boxes close by. The present 1500-ton destroyer operating under protracted advanced conditions of readiness to repel air attack would require two complete director crews since one crew could not be expected to be on the alert for the long periods required. Such a provision would require an additional eleven men. Accordingly, increases recommended above may be justified as follows:-

One additional AA Director Crew	l seaman
5"/38 caliber guas continuously	28 seaman -
(4-gun ship, ad	14 for
5_mm shi	• 3
Additional battle lookouts	6 seaman
Additions to ammunition party	O seaman
Crew for ten additional machine gums	
	15

P16-1 Serial 4209 CONFIDENTIAL



7 Sep 1940

Subject:

Complement of Destroyers.

As stated before, the number of men in the complement of each destroyer is set by the limitation of available berthing facilities. Therefore, the resulting complement must be utilized to the best advantage in effectively accomplishing all that is required of our modern destroyer.

- 5. During limited emergencies with the advent of undeclared wars and sudden attacks there appears to be no advantage in making a distinction between Complement and Allowance. It is recommended that the use of the term "Allowance" be discontinued.
- 6. Officer complements of all classes of destroyers are considered adequate.

/s/ H. J. AHETT, H. J. AHETT, Chief of Staff.
